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## APPENDIX 1-11: BORROW PIT SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Material Type</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Road KP</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>UTM X/Y</th>
<th>Potential Volume (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Demand Volume (m$^3$)</th>
<th>Gross Area (ha)</th>
<th>Net Area (ha)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP 2</td>
<td>Calcareous Mudstone Bedrock</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap Surfacing</td>
<td>403340 6827765</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>5,000 (rip rap)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Existing pit utilized by original road. More volume available if required. Durable crushed surfacing possible but constrained work areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 4A</td>
<td>Bedrock Limestone / Mudstone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>403032 6828909</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Steep rock cut adjacent to the road which may be removed to widen existing road base and could be utilized nearby. Geotechnical concern due to slope angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 4B</td>
<td>Colluvium Limestone / Mudstone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>403102 6829344</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Volume could easily be doubled if required. Existing pit utilized by original road. Moderately durable as surfacing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 10</td>
<td>Argillite Colluvium</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rip rap</td>
<td>405709 6831914</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Possible source of rip-rap material adjacent to the road. Potential permafrost so additional testing required if utilized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 14</td>
<td>Colluvium Limestone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip-rap</td>
<td>409766 6831761</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Adjacent to the road. Some riprap in talus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 16</td>
<td>Glacial fluvial Gravel</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>Subgrade Surfacing</td>
<td>410382 6832295</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Glacial moraine. Large volume available and appears of good quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 25</td>
<td>Glacial fluvial Gravel</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>Surfacing</td>
<td>417891 6828651</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Moraine deposit left by glaciers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 33</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap</td>
<td>423842 6826838</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Deposit of fragmented talus rock adjacent to the road. Little or no stripping involved, easy access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 34</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>424443 6828239</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Large deposit of fragmented talus rock parallel to the road. Little or no stripping involved, easy access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 35</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>424951 6828894</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Deposit of fragmented talus rock parallel to the road. Little or no stripping involved, easy access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 37</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>426826 6829170</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Deposit of fragmented talus rock parallel to the road. Little or no stripping involved, easy access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 38</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>427451 6829653</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Large deposit of fragmented talus rock parallel to the road. Little or no stripping involved, easy access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 39A</td>
<td>Alluvium Gravel/Cobble</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>Subgrade, surfacing</td>
<td>428 6830108</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Old floodplain adjacent to the road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 39B</td>
<td>Large fragmented talus rock</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>428485 6830314</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>New proposed borrow, an expansion of the original BP 39.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 40</td>
<td>Alluvium Gravel/Cobble</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>Subgrade, surfacing</td>
<td>428707 6830599</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>Old floodplain adjacent to the road. Similar to BP 39 but some stripping and less influence from Sundog Creek.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 41</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand - Fine</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>429606 6830685</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Not field confirmed. Assume similar material as identified at BP 43A. Back-up borrow volume available for localized subgrade requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 41B</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand - Fine</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>429426 6830794</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Not field confirmed. Assume similar material as identified at BP 43A. Back-up borrow volume available for localized subgrade requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 42</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand - Fine</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>430293 6830505</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Not field confirmed. Assume similar material as identified at BP 43A. Back-up borrow volume available for localized subgrade requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 43A</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand – Fine</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>Road sand, Subgrade</td>
<td>431491 6829886</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>More volume available. Volume to be extracted for long term road sanding operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 43B</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand – Medium</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>Road sand, Subgrade</td>
<td>431868 6829691</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>More volume available. For long term winter sanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 47B</td>
<td>Alluvium Gravel/Cobble</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>434939 6828665</td>
<td>49,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Alluvial floodplain – assumes 2m depth only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 47C</td>
<td>Colluvium and Bedrock Limestone</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>435550 6828997</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Assume similar material as BP 47A however adjacent to the road. Requires blasting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 50</td>
<td>Fine Sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>438216 6829974</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>More volume available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 50B</td>
<td>Fine Sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>437641 6829946</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>More volume available but expected to be small borrow area and shallow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 51</td>
<td>Fine Sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>438858 6830441</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>More volume available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 53</td>
<td>Fine Sand with minor Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>440459 6830858</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Possible limited expansion. Potential long term for winter sanding operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 54</td>
<td>Carbonate Rock Exposure</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>441383 6830556</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Two large exposed rock humps. Potential surfacing source for proposed airstrip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 55</td>
<td>Shale-Rock Exposure</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing?</td>
<td>441450 6830055</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Shale rock knobs comparable to BP 54 however the road passes thru them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 56</td>
<td>Shale Colluvium /Bedrock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing?</td>
<td>441918 6829766</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Exposed shale rock along the upper cut slope of the proposed road. Could be excellent subgrade material and possibly for surfacing. Minor chance of acid generating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 56B</td>
<td>Shale Colluvium /Bedrock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing?</td>
<td>442390 6829649</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Shale rock knob close proximity to road. Excellent subgrade material or possibly surfacing. Minor chance of acid generating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 59</td>
<td>Gravel – sub-rounded shale &amp; sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>443934 6828136</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Potential use for airstrip construction. More volume available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 61</td>
<td>Shale Colluvium /Bedrock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>445409 6827254</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>More volume available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 64</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Gravel – Fine</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>447360 6824430</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>More volume available. Alternate to BP 65 source. The sample taken indicated slightly silty gravel. Required only if BP 65 not an option. 300m access road required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 65</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Gravel – Fine</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>447427 6824337</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Small hump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 67</td>
<td>Colluvium – Shale</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>448634 6822644</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Main face only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 70</td>
<td>Fine Sand, Some quarry</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>449549 6819884</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>More volume available. Potential rock quarry at north end could be utilized for crush surfacing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 76</td>
<td>Fine Sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>451871 6815634</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Small hump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 77A</td>
<td>Sandy till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>452840 6815788</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Small hump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 77B</td>
<td>Sandy till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>453210 6815603</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Small hump.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 78</td>
<td>Sandy till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>453921 6815218</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>Maximum volume available. No expansion possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 84</td>
<td>Sandy till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>458159 6815538</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Possibly could be expanded. Borrow material for expected localized overland sections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP86 A</td>
<td>Medium sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>Subgrade, Winter sand</td>
<td>459373 6814018</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Some limited expansion possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP86 B</td>
<td>Medium sand with gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Winter sand</td>
<td>459520 6813948</td>
<td>37,900</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Maximum volume available is indicated. No additional volume is available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 87</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, surfacing</td>
<td>460468 6813925</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Maximum volume available. No expansion possible. Old flood plain - unlikely to have permafrost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 92</td>
<td>Fine sand / silt mixtures</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>462569 6815294</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 93A</td>
<td>Fine sand / silt mixtures</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>463673 681470</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 93B</td>
<td>Fine sand / silt mixtures</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>463704 6814541</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 93C</td>
<td>Fine sand / silt mixtures</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>464015 6814213</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 94</td>
<td>Fine sand / silt mixtures</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>464295 6813722</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 96</td>
<td>Silty sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>465958 6813509</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 97</td>
<td>Silty sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>466244 6812298</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 102</td>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>467969 6811664</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 102B</td>
<td>Silty sand</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>468544 6811716</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area. Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Could be easily expanded. Short 175m access road required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 103</td>
<td>Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing, Rip Rap</td>
<td>469432 6810903</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlaying a probable carbonate rock type layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material, the rock could be blasted/crushed and utilized for road surfacing. Short access road required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP104</td>
<td>Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>Subgrade Surfacing</td>
<td>469655 6810510</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlaying a probable carbonate rock type layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material; the rock could be blasted/crushed and utilized for road surfacing. Future test pits will determine the precise shape and size (probably less than 2.5 ha).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP107</td>
<td>Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>Subgrade Surfacing</td>
<td>470605 6808474</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlaying a probable carbonate rock type layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material; the rock could be blasted/crushed and utilized for road surfacing. Requires 400 m access road. Future test pits will determine the precise shape and size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP108</td>
<td>Shale rock quarry and Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>Subgrade Surfacing</td>
<td>470979 6806457</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Shifted location. Part of the same geological formation as BP 104, 107 108 Alter. Could serve as borrow for overland subgrade base material (any overburden clay/silt/sand and the rock quarry for crushing/surfacing. Requires 450m access road. Future test pits will determine the precise shape and size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 109</td>
<td>Shale rock quarry and Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>471072 6805816</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Part of the same geological formation as BP 104 and 111. Could serve as borrow for overland subgrade base material (any overburden clay/silt/sand) and the rock quarry for crushing/surfacing. Requires 700 m access road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 110</td>
<td>Sand with mixed coarse fragments/gravel esker type formation. Dry</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>473294 6805427</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Additional borrow. Dry esker gravel/sand. Need to confirm permafrost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 112</td>
<td>Clay based overburden with carbonate based rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>474048 6805656</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Large elevated knob/ridge with potential clay till type material overlaying a probable carbonate rock type layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 112.3</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>474353 6805428</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Alluvial gravel fan unlikely to have permafrost. Additional volume could be available. Surfacing material from KP 97 to 115.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 119</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>477736 6800337</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Old flood plain, unlikely to have permafrost. Additional volume could be available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 123A</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>478153 6799135</td>
<td>214,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Maximum volume available. No expansion possible. Old flood plain, unlikely to have permafrost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 123B</td>
<td>Bedrock Limestone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap</td>
<td>477960 6799174</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Exposed rock outcrop knob. Blast rock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 124</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>Subgrade Surfacing</td>
<td>479,031 6,799,520</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Old flood plain, unlikely to have permafrost. Considered the main surfacing source from KP 125 to 133. Long term if more available volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 125A</td>
<td>Bedrock Limestone</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap Subgrade</td>
<td>479315 6799489 479483 6799159</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Exposed rock outcrop knob for rock quarry. Would work excellent for overland subgrade borrow from KP 124.8 to 126.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 126</td>
<td>Colluvium Limestone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>Subgrade, Rip rap</td>
<td>480215 6798190</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Could be rock glacier. Requires additional testing to confirm material and check any potential geotechnical concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 129</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>Subgrade, Surfacing</td>
<td>481684 6797072</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Potential good source of surfacing gravel. Close proximity to the proposed road location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 132</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>482506 6793456</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Back-up borrow volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 136</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>483,136 6789603</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 138</td>
<td>Bedrock Limestone</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>138.2</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap</td>
<td>484,101 6788499</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Exposed rock outcrop knob for rock quarry. A 1.2 km access road is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 139</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>Surfacing, Subgrade</td>
<td>484578 6786662</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Large fan with excellent gravel material. A 2.2 km access road is required. Surfacing material from KP 133 to 145. Sample extracted from similar fan formation north west of location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 140</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>486011 6787740</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Back-up borrow volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 143</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>143.8</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>486691 6784624</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Back-up borrow volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Label</td>
<td>Material Type</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Road KP</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>UTM X/Y</td>
<td>Potential Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Demand Volume (m³)</td>
<td>Gross Area (ha)</td>
<td>Net Area (ha)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 146</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>486671 6781913</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>Back-up</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Back-up borrow volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 151 A</td>
<td>Alluvial Cobble and Gravels</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>487108 677912 677058 6777645</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Must confirm depth and area but expect shallow source (2m deep) spread over a large fan. A potential S3 seasonal stream divides the two defined patches. Surfacing material from KP 145 to 155.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 154</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>485734 6775155</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Available back-up subgrade borrow if volume not available within R/W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 156</td>
<td>Clay/silt glacial till</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>152.8</td>
<td>Subgrade</td>
<td>484866 6772879</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>ADDITIONAL BOROW.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 158</td>
<td>Limestone/Carbonate Talus rock deposit</td>
<td>long</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>483731 6772187</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Final area must be defined and will be much smaller. Excellent source of mixed talus rumble at the base of limestone/ carbonate rock face. A very strategic source to supply from KP 155 to 184. Requires 1.5 km access road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP 159A, B</td>
<td>Carbonate Rock</td>
<td>short</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>Surfacing, Rip rap armoring</td>
<td>484123 6771167 484080 6770474</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>More investigation required but looks like excellent source of large deposit of fragmented carbonate/limestone rock (part of an old landslide broken off mountain face). Geotechnical concerns will regulate and limit the availability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOT ALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>81 Borrow Identified, 49 Borrow Required,</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5,033,200</strong></td>
<td><strong>918,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>233.48</strong></td>
<td><strong>38.34</strong></td>
<td>Note: Identifies borrow with field samples taken.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL BORROW PITS
(Alternative Alignment plus additional borrow from KP 130 to 155)

1.1 Km 2.72 – BP2 – Mudstone Bedrock
The potential borrow source at BP 2 consists of crumbling bedrock that has been used in past by Canadian Zinc for miscellaneous roadway improvements. This source is identified as a calcareous mudstone that appears very competent in comparison to the previous siltstone source at 0.55 km. Based on the materials developed here to date, it appears that this rock source readily fragments under the blade of a bulldozer, although this favourable trait may decrease with bedrock depth. In past, the use of a grizzly screening unit at the site removed any oversized materials prior to loading the materials into trucks for movement. The apparent hardness and blocky fracture properties of this material may make it suitable for crushing for surfacing material, and it seems to pack into a very dense state. One limitation for a crushing operation is the proximity to Prairie Creek would constrain the amount of area for the crushing and stockpiling of any large amounts of materials.

Figure 1: BP2 materials pushed from a higher rock face for screening with a grizzly.
1.2 Km 4.01 – BP4A – Limestone/Mudstone Face Exposure

While the rock source at 4.01 km is not a preferred material source, there may be a large quantity of this material removed as waste rock in the building of the final road along this section. The material consists of a tall face of limestone/mudstone that may present a rockfall hazard to the present road location. In the event that this rock face has to be excavated back further, large amounts of waste rock will be generated and this material could be used to supply any anticipated borrow needs in the general area. It is anticipated that this material is more suited for subgrade construction that for surfacing material as it fractures quite easily and doesn’t appear to be very durable in nature. Again, the close proximity of Prairie Creek will be a constraint to any processing or load out operations proposed here.
1.3 **Km 4.49 – BP4B – Limestone/Mudstone Colluvium**

Slightly further up the road route from the BP4A location is the site of a developed colluvial limestone/mudstone source that has been designated BP4B. This is basically a large talus slope that abuts to the current roadway, presenting a perfect natural source of shattered rock materials. It appears to result from the same parent rock as in BP4A, but the fragment sizes are much smaller in the colluvial slope. Again, this material seems more appropriate for subgrade construction material than for surfacing material due to its weak plane structure. In terms of ease of development for a talus source in the general area, the BP4B source is very straightforward operation – basically it appears ready to load out as it currently sits. However, if the slopes in the area of BP4A need to trimmed back due to rockfall issues, then it makes sense to use the BP4A material for borrow instead since it will already be loaded into trucks for removal.

![Figure 4: The rockfall hazard at 4.01 km may generate a large quantity of waste rock that could be used to supply subgrade borrow needs elsewhere nearby.](image1)

![Figure 5: The currently developed face of the colluvium talus slope at BP4B.](image2)
1.4  **Km 10.02 – BP10 – Argillite Colluvium**

Bp10 is a potential source of black argillite colluvium exposed in a slope alongside the roadway at 10.02 km. This material evidently extends for several hundred meters along the road here, but permafrost might be a strong possibility in the slopes as they are located on a northern aspect. Based on the material evident in the surface exposure, any permafrost in this slope may be ice poor, but it may still be a stability factor due to slow creep within the steep slope. The material in the slope does contain some competent looking large fragments that may serve as light to medium sized riprap. Riprap will be needed in this section of the road route, and along adjacent sections as well, to help manage stream flow adjacent to the roadway. This source contains some of the largest and most competent fragments noted on the western end of the road route. Planning for permafrost prior to any development is recommended.

![Image of BP10 material showing a wide size gradation and evidence of creep along the slope.]

**Figure 6:** BP10 material showing a wide size gradation. Also note some evidence of creep along the slope – this is assumed to be related to permafrost.
1.5 **Km 14.88 – BP14 – Limestone Colluvium**

Bp14 appears to consist primarily of a limestone talus slope along the road corridor in a high mountain pass at 14.88 km. The gradation of the material is generally toward fragments of less than 0.3m, but some occasional embedded boulders within the matrix could be used for medium to large sized riprap. This talus slope is derived from limestone bedrock, making it an ideal construction material as it poses no risk of acid drainage – it is actually an acid neutralizing material. At this location, the potential borrow pit material is best suited for either subgrade fill or riprap, as the ground is too steep to accommodate a crushing operation for road surfacing material. BP 16 may offer better opportunities for setting up a crushing plant if required. This rock would likely create a superior surfacing material for the new road as it should be quite durable in nature and pack extremely well. The light color of this rock helps reduce solar heat absorption as well, making it a preferred choice if there is a need for filling over permafrost areas to help keep the ground insulated.

---

**Figure 7: Some of the potential light riprap material noted in the BP10 slope.**
Figure 8: The limestone talus slope at 14.88 km (BP14).

1.6 **Km 15.8 – BP 16 - Glacialfluvial Gravel**

A large esker like deposit of limestone gravel left behind from the glaciers. Appears to be a large quantity and quality should provide enough room to accommodate a crush plant but it may be tight.

Figure 9: Large esker like deposit of glacial-fluvial gravel at 15.8 km.

1.7 **Km 25.5 – BP 25 – Glacial-fluvial Gravel**

A large esker like deposit of limestone gravel left behind from the glaciers. Appears to be a large quantity and quality. If required, should have adequate room for a crush plant.
Figure 10: Large esker like deposit of glacial-fluvial gravel at 15.8 km.

1.8 Km 33.5, 34.5, 35.5, 37.5, 38.3 – BP 33, 34, 35, 37, 38 – Large Fragmented Talus Rock

All similar large fragmented talus rock deposit found along the lower Sundog Creek parallel to the proposed road location. Will work well for the proposed road construction approach along the lower sections of lower sundog.

Figure 11: Large talus rock deposits along a number of locations of the lower Sundog Creek between Km 33 to 39.
1.9 Km 39.0 – BP39A – Alluvial Floodplain Cobble/Gravel – Carbonates

The original BP 39 (DAR 2015) has been split into two smaller borrow areas, 39A and 39B. BP 39A area was shifted to the outer extent of the original BP 39 area on a fully vegetated old alluvial floodplain along Sundog Creek. This re-defined borrow pit location offers a greater buffer distance from primary Sundog Creek channel and occupies an older portion of historic Sundog floodplain. The borrow material consists of washed carbonate materials (limestone/limy siltstone) that make for a preferable construction material. This light colored, durable, and non-acidic cobble and gravel would be a preferred material for use at many places within the project area because of its properties. It could be processed by crushing and screening into a highly durable, long-term road surfacing material for construction and maintenance purposes. The material is extremely free draining and its light color would not absorb much solar heating so it would assist in insulating fill material over permafrost zones (if required). Because it is situated on the fringe of an old alluvial wash channel, there is a good likelihood that this material is free of permafrost as well. The large flat here is also advantageous for operating a crushing plant and for stockpiling crushed materials until they can be applied to the road. Since the area may be subject to a fluctuating water table (as controlled by seepage from nearby Sundog Creek), any gravel excavation would need to be carried out during periods with a low water table.

![Figure 12: View of BP 39A looking east.](image-url)
Figure 13: Typical gravel and cobble material available at BP39A. This material consists primarily of Paleozoic carbonate rocks as evidenced by the abundance of fossilized coral fragments throughout the aggregates.

1.10 Km 39.2 – BP 39B – Talus Limestone Bedrock

BP39B consists of a large talus rock face. This borrow offers a source of both colluvium and solid rock limestone for road development needs. These raw materials could be used to generate construction materials ranging from crushed surfacing materials and subgrade rock fill, to riprap for armouring. Geologically, this area consists extensively of carbonate based bedrock so all the materials available for borrow here should be acid neutralizing materials.
Figure 14: View of BP 39B on right side of photo, with the original cat camp in the background.

1.11  km 39.5 – BP 40 - Alluvium Gravel/Cobble

Similar deposit as identified at BP 39, an old floodplain of Sundog Creek. Perhaps more attractive than BP 39 because closer proximity (1 km) to the intended destination. Expect it to be a shallow depth as to not compromise the water table. Ample room for crushing plant.

Figure 15: Old flood plain of Sundog Creek utilized as a camp/laydown area (Cat Camp) from the 1980 winter road construction.
1.12  **Km 40.5, 41 – BP 41, 41B, 42 - Silty Sand**

Not field confirmed but expecting similar material type that was consistently observed in the surrounding area. Should provide adequate base material for subgrade material for expected localized overland sections. Expect shallow pit depths of 2 m.

![Figure 16: An aerial view of an elevated “hump” of expected silty sand which should provide adequate base material to support overland sections.](image)

1.13  **Km 42.6 – BP43A – Fine Sand – Esker Deposit**

At 43.15 km a small esker of fine sand (BP43A) is crossed by the road route. This esker runs in a westerly direction from roadway, and could be developed as a long-term source of sand for winter road sanding and for pipe bedding material during construction. During construction, this sand deposit could also be used for free draining road fill across lowlands.

![Figure 17: View of the existing cut for the past winter road across the end of the esker at 42.6 km.](image)
Figure 18: Example of the fine sand in the esker source designated as BP43.

1.14 Km 43.2 – BP43B – Medium Sand Deposit

BP43B is situated at 43.65 km and covers an area containing an existing borrow pit of medium sand from the original winter road construction era. While this particular area has been designated as the borrow site, the sand deposit itself runs from approximately 43.5 km to 45.75 km. As with the BP43A site, this sand has potential for pipe bedding, winter road sanding, and for subgrade fill across lowland areas. The material in BP43B grades a little coarser than the material in BP43A, so it may be a bit more appropriate for lowland fills where the pumping of groundwater into the subgrade may be a concern.

Figure 19: View of the sand material in the original construction borrow at 43.2 km.
1.15  **Km 446.5—BP47A, B – Bedrock Limestone/Alluvial Floodplain Deposit - Carbonates**

BP47B is situated south of 46.5 km and a potential borrow source consisting of alluvial gravel deposits (carbonate based) with an exposed bedrock limestone face on west boundary. A short 400m access road with a stream crossing is required. The stream within the valley is seasonal and appears to be dry except for during the higher spring runoff periods when it briefly avulses and deposits gravels across the broader floodplain. As such, this deposit is similar to that of BP39, and the depth of excavation could be controlled by a fluctuating water table. There is also a possibility that the low level water flows are carried underground in subterranean channels within the limestone bedrock. This borrow source will be developed and operated in winter periods.

*Figure 20: The small stream that would need to be crossed to access BP47A and BP47B.*
Figure 21: View of BP47B alluvial deposit area.

Figure 22: Typical BP47B alluvial deposit materials derived from carbonate parent rock.

1.16 Km 47.2 – BP 47C - Colluvium and Bedrock Limestone

Assumed a similar rock formation as identified in BP 47A however is adjacent to the proposed road location so more accessible.
1.17 **Km 49.8 – BP 50B – Fine Sand**

Could be easily expanded and would provide adequate material for localized overland sections.

1.18 **Km 50.4 – BP50 – Fine Sand**

BP50 is part of a broad area of fine sand found between 50.88 and 51.75 km that could be used for subgrade fill over the wetter lowlands in the area. These lowlands present relatively deep organics and potentially permafrost at depth. This material provides free draining
borrow, but it is also quite fine and it would have to be capped with coarser materials to protect it from potential surface erosion after construction.

Figure 25: The fine sand in BP50 is potential fill for crossing over nearby lowlands.

1.19 Km 50.2– BP51 – Fine Sand

BP51 is part of the same broad sand deposit that BP50 is situated on, but BP51 is located strategically on the west end of a wide lowland with deep organics that the road must cross. This lowland area, possibly with permafrost under it at depth, must be crossed using overland construction techniques to build the subgrade. Overland construction involves “floating” a roadway across areas with deep organics by leaving the organics undisturbed and covering them with a thick layer of imported free-draining material taken from suitable borrow sources. BP51 would provide a suitable borrow source on the western end of the long overland section running from about 51.75 km to 52.95 km. As with BP50, this sand is quite fine and would have to be capped with coarser material to control the potential for surface erosion.
Figure 26: The fine sand in BP51 is a potential overland subgrade borrow source.

Figure 27: Aerial view of the BP51 source area – burned area (grey) indicates the sand.

1.20  **Km 52.7 – BP53 – Fine Sand with minor Gravel**

BP53 is located at 53.4 km, slightly beyond the eastern end of the wide lowland area that the road must cross between 51.75 km to 52.95 km with overland construction. BP53 is located on the nose of some benched terrain that juts out on its east side toward Poljie Creek and is bordered by an ancient paleo-channel of Poljie Creek on the north. The upper bench level consists primarily of the same fine sand as was noted in BP50 and BP51, while the lower bench has some slightly coarser sand with some minor gravel and shale fragments. All this material is free-draining and suitable for the overland fill required between 51.75 km to 52.95 km. As with the previous borrow sites in this area, this sand is quite fine and would have to
be capped with coarser material to control the potential for surface erosion. Previous subsurface geotechnical drilling at nearby Poljie Creek also indicated some gravel deposition at depth in this general area, so the lower levels of this borrow area have some limited potential for glaciofluvial gravel deposits as well.

Figure 28: Fine sand with minor gravel and shale showings in the lower bench of BP53.

1.21  Km 54.3 – BP54 – Rock Knob - Carbonates

At 54.60 km the road route passes in close proximity to the northern end of a chain of carbonate rock protrusions along the east side of Poljie Creek. The protrusions forming BP54 consist of two low, timbered, rock outcrops on the north end of a chain of carbonate exposures that become visually impressive further south where they form karst cliffs and pinnacles overlooking Poljie Creek. While physically connected to this same chain of karst exposures, the BP54 outcrop knobs are very subdued outlying outcrops, with very little aesthetic value evident. They do however provide a good potential source of carbonate rock that could be developed into a needed source of riprap and ballast for construction within the general area. Depending on the depth of the material that can be developed here, there may be enough volume in these exposures to justify a small rock crushing operation to develop superior surfacing for the road and for the proposed airstrip nearby. This rock would also form an excellent capping material for the sand fill proposed for overland construction in the section from 51.75 km to 52.95 km to the west. It would armour the sand fill from erosion and provide a light colored reflective surface to prevent solar heat absorption into the fill should permafrost be present at depth.
1.22 KM 54.9 – BP 55 – Shale Rock Knobs

Shale rock knobs comparable to BP 54 however the road passes thru them. Could be utilized for excellent subgrade base over overland sections and/or possibly surfacing.
Figure 31: The fragmented shale rock knob the road passes thru at km 55.3.

1.23 KM 55.5 – BP 56 – Exposed Shale Rock Knob
Exposed shale rock knob located along the upper cut-slope of the proposed road. Could provide excellent subgrade material and possibly for surfacing.

Figure 32: A large exposed shale rock sideslope near KM 55.9.

1.24 KM 56.4 – BP 56B – Shale Rock Knob
Exposed shale rock knob located in close proximity to road location. Could provide excellent subgrade material and possibly for surfacing.
1.25 Km 59.1 – BP59 – Sub-rounded Sedimentary Gravels & Sand

BP59 consists of a shale based gravel deposit exposed in a constructed cut at 59.41 km along the old winter road. The material is fairly sandy but is still one of the better looking glaciofluvial gravel materials noted within the general area. It could be used as a lower durability pit-run gravel source, or for fill for the road or the nearby proposed airstrip. This gravelly material appears in exposures along the old winter road right up to about 59.90 km.

Figure 33: An example of the shale rock found at Km 56.5.

Figure 34: Shale based sandy gravel noted along the old winter road at 59.1 km (BP59)
Figure 35: Similar looking material found along winter road at 59.90 km.

1.26  **Km 61.10 – BP61 – Siltstone Exposure**

BP61 consists of a siltstone outcrop exposed in a cut along the old winter road at 61.10 km. The material is quite fissile and likely of low durability, but is one of the best construction materials found in this section of finer grained moraine deposits with occasional seepage zones. This material can be used to firm up areas of soft ground and to repair some ditch and shoulder erosion that has occurred on a few steep grades along the old winter road west of this location.

Figure 36: Fissile siltstone exposure.
1.27  **Km 64.5 – BP64 – Glaciofluvial Gravel - Eskers**

BP64 constitutes but a small portion of a very large glaciofluvial kame/kettle deposit that is several kilometers in size and situated south of Mosquito Lake. The material contains sub-rounded sedimentary rock fragments in a matrix of silty sand that would pack well for road surfacing and may even have some natural dust control properties. The potential gravels here are quite deep and additional sampling at depth would be needed if it is necessary to confirm suitable gradation throughout. The BP64 location is situated about 400 m west of the planned road route, and has the best looking gravel from multiple sampling locations taken along the nearby esker chains. This area could be developed for gravel pit purposes with a short section of easily constructed access road across well drained soils. If however, the quantity of materials at BP65 are proven out by deeper test excavations, then it would be simpler to develop those material directly along the roadway.

![Figure 37: Overview of major glaciofluvial deposits south of Mosquito Lake (background)](image-url)
1.28   **Km 64.9 – BP65 – Glaciofluvial Gravel**

BP65 also falls on the large glaciofluvial kame/kettle deposit previously noted for the BP64 site, but this borrow site falls along the existing roadway and doesn’t require additional access road to develop it. BP65 appears to fall along the southern edge of the deposit area, and the depth of deposition will likely be substantially shallower in comparison to the esker deposit at BP64. As evidence of the potential for a shallow deposit here is the rock knob of primarily fissile shale that protrudes up through the area on the west side of the road. The base of this hill may contain more shale than gravel. This shale, in itself, is a potential borrow material for subgrade construction and the rock knob here appears to contain a substantial amount of it. The gravel materials sampled along the road here also appear fairly variable in composition over short distances. That, taken in combination with potentially shallow gravel deposition in this area, indicates a need for additional investigation to a greater depth to confirm materials prior to any large pit development. A low ridge formation (esker?) protruding out into the wetland on the southeast side also may contain gravels, but this formation wasn’t sampled during the field reconnaissance. Should further testing in this area indicate a limited gravel volume, the use of BP64 would be the preferred alternative due to the volume available there.
Figure 39: Test pit with well-rounded gravel at BP65. Another test nearby revealed more angular shale gravel content, so the area is somewhat variable for gravel composition.

Figure 40: Exposed face with both blocky and fine fissile shale was noted in a rock knob about 200 m southwest of BP65. This material likely extends back close to the road.

1.29  **Km 67.1 – BP67 – Shale Colluvium**

At 67.52 km the road route runs along the toe of a steep (70%) hillside that is covered in fine shale talus. Shale colluvium is apparent along the entire hillside above the road here up to about 67.8 km, but this location has the cleanest exposed talus material noted in the area. This material would be suitable for overland fills and for firming up areas of soft ground, but wouldn’t be a very good surfacing material due to its fractures and sharp fragments. There is
also some potential for ice poor permafrost in this hillside, due to the northern aspect of this talus face, so additional investigation would be prudent before any development is carried out.

Figure 41: Surface talus exposure of fine shale at 67.52 km (BP67).

Figure 42: BP67 talus sidehill (center right) with old road running diagonally across view.

1.30 Km 70.50 – BP70 – Mix Fine Sand / Colluvium Bedrock Quarry

The route crosses a sidehill with an old cut slope showing fine sand material intermixed with minor colluvium shale adjacent to the existing road with exposed colluvium bedrock upslope on the western portion. The sand/colluvium shale mix material (BP70) is free draining and
good for subgrade fills in overland sections. The bedrock could be ripped or blasted and utilized for armouring, subgrade fill, or crushed for surfacing.

Figure 43: Fine sand material at 70.75 km (BP70)

Figure 44: The existing cut slope at BP70. The weathered face appears to be colluvium shale because of the residual fragments left in place, but the slope is in sandy materials.

1.31 Km 75.8 – BP76 – Fine Sand

In an almost mirror image of the BP72 situation, this small hump of sand at 76.23 km (BP76) is strategically located next to a wetland crossing that will need to be built up with fill as well. Again, the existing road footprint can be re-utilised to reduce new ground disturbance at the borrow site.
Figure 45: Another small sand hump at 76.23 km can provide fill for a wetland crossing.

1.32 **Km 76.70 – BP77A – Sandy Till**

Between 77 km and 78 km a broad lowland area must be crossed by the road, and additional borrow to build overland subgrade fills will be needed. This lowland area only presents a few opportunities for borrowing nearby material, and these areas consist of long low glacial deposition ridges left within the lowland margin area itself. As the existing winter road crosses these low ridges, it is advantageous to borrow the drier materials found in these areas for constructing the subgrade fills across the lowland.

Figure 46: A low ridge of higher sandy till (BP77A) crosses over the road route laterally at 76.7 km. This source of drier material could be used to build some of the overland fills that will be required to cross the wetland.
1.33  **Km 77.20 – BP77B – Sandy Till**

At 77.70 km, another low relief ridge of dry sandy till (BP77B) lies on the south side of the existing road. This source, in conjunction with BP77A, could be used to supply some fill for the overland subgrade crossing of the lowland to the west of this location.

![Image](image1.png)

*Figure 47: A small hump of higher sandy till (BP77B) lies on the southern side of the road at 77.20 km. This source of drier material could also be used to construct some of the overland fills that will be required to cross the nearby lowland area.*

1.34  **Km 78.0 – BP78 – Sandy Till**

The nose of a ridge crossed at 78.52 km provides another source of dry sandy till (BP78) for constructing overland fills in this area of low ground.

![Image](image2.png)

*Figure 48: A small ridge of sandy till (BP78) lies under the road route at 78.0 km. The drier material here could be used to construct adjacent overland fills.*
1.35 **Km 83.6 – BP 84 – Sandy Till**

Long, slender borrow area adjacent to ASR alignment. Expect clay till type material to be utilized for localized overland sections. Permafrost potential along southern boundary.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 49:** The road passes through the center of this aspen patch and the borrow area parallels the road.

1.36 **Km 85.8 – BP86A – Medium Sand**

A soil exposure on an existing cut face along the original winter road reveals a source of medium sized sand at 85.8 km (BP86A). The material appears to be very free draining and suitable for pipe bedding or overland fill material.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 50:** Sand material found in an existing cut slope at 86.35 km. This is some of the cleanest sand noted in the area and could be used for pipe bedding and overland fills.
1.37    **Km 86.0 – BP86B – Medium Gravelly Sand**

Another nearby soil exposure along an existing winter road cut face at 86.0 km (BP86B) reveals medium sized gravelly sand within the slope. This material could be utilized as road fill for construction over an adjacent lowland area, and for overland construction across other lowland sections to the northwest.

**Figure 52:** Medium grain sized gravelly sand material found in an existing cut slope at 86.0 km.
Figure 53: Aerial view of the BP86B site (right of center) showing the ridge containing gravelly sand.

1.38 Km 87.1 – BP87 – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates

At about 87.4 km, the Tetcela River is crossed by the route, and the planned road continues eastward across a flat plain which appears to be the site of several relict river channels from past millennia. As such, much of this area is comprised of old river beds and there is substantial subsurface gravel and cobble deposition under this currently forested plain. Hand excavated test holes were almost impossible to carry out to any depth here as the subsurface material consists of large embedded cobbles and gravels in a coarse matrix that is practicably impenetrable to shovels. The composition of the materials deposited here is assumed to be similar in texture to that of the current day active channel nearby – coarse mixtures of gravels and cobbles. This area could provide a critical supply of durable gravel aggregate that could be crushed for making superior surfacing materials. Materials of this nature could be used for road surfacing and grade construction for tens of kilometers in either direction from this site. The presence of innumerable Paleozoic coral fossils within the gravels throughout the Tetcela River system also indicate that the resulting gravels here are derived from carbonate based bedrock and are non-acidic.

Deeper investigation needs to be carried out in the coarse material here to determine the full depth and gradation of the deposited materials, and to ascertain if the water table would present a problem to development of a borrow pit. There is ample area well back of the river near the BP87 site that is suitable for the establishment of a crushing plant and for stockpiling material.
Figure 54: The bed composition of the current day Tetcela River is assumed to be indicative of the materials that should underlie the BP87 site, which is situated well back of the river here, in the timber on the left hand side.

Figure 55: View of some of the material deposited within the existing river bank to the west of the proposed BP87 location. This material is assumed to be typical of the deposition in the borrow area and it would need to be crushed for road surfacing.

1.39 Km 90.5, 91.5, 93.0, 93.6 - BP 92, 93A, 93B, 93C, 94 – Silt Clay

Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Area and volume could be easily expanded. Initial shovel test pit confirms silt clay material with mix of fine sand.
Figure 56: Aerial view of the landscape from KP 90 to 95. Patches/islands of dry, esker type terrain covered with lodgepole pine/aspen. These humps will provide borrow material to overland the lower, depressed permafrost (likely ice-rich) sections in between.

1.40 Km 95.6 – BP 96 – Sandy Clay / Sedimentary Fragmented Rock

Area and volume could be easily expanded. Field investigation confirmed exposed, loose (sedimentary) rock on the surface and expected to be prevalent throughout the borrow area at depths greater than 1m surface depth. Should provide excellent borrow material for local overland subgrade material.

Figure 57: Aerial view of the landscape near KP 96. Borrow to be extracted from elevated dry humps covered mainly with aspen and lodgepole pine.
1.41  **Km 96.8 – BP 97- Clay/Silt**  
Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Area and volume could be easily expanded. Initial shovel test pit confirms silt clay material. A short access road to borrow is required.

![Image of landscape](image1.png)

**Figure 58:** Aerial view looking west at BP 97 located in middle of photo.

1.42  **Km 101.20 – BP 102- Fine Sand**  
Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Area and volume could be easily expanded.

![Image of landscape](image2.png)

**Figure 59:** Dry sand type material at Wolverine Pass.
1.43  **Km 101.50 – BP 102B – Silty Clay**

Borrow volume required for localized overland sections. Requires a short 175 m access road. Area and volume could be easily expanded. Not field verified but similar material found in the surrounding area.

![Image of landscape with vegetation and humps]

**Figure 60:** Dry elevated “humps” covered with lodgepole pine and aspen should provide adequate silty clay type material for overland sections.

1.44  **Km 102.90 – BP 103 - Clay till Overburden/Carbonate Rock**

Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlying a carbonate rock layer underneath. Short access road is required. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material, the rock could be blasted/crushed and utilized for road surfacing.
Figure 61: BP 103 is the elevated hump with an exposed sedimentary rock/shale face on the south east side.

1.45 Km 103.30 – BP 104 – Clay till Overburden/Carbonate Rock

Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlying a sedimentary rock layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material, the rock could be ripped and would make excellent subgrade material. Future test pits will determine the precise shape and size (probably less than 2.5 ha).

Figure 62: Similar formation to BP 103 and expect similar soils.
1.46  **Km 106.1 – BP 107/BP 107 Alter.– Carbonate Rock**

Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlying a sedimentary rock layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material, the rock could be ripped and would make excellent subgrade material.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 63: View of BP 107. Similar to BP 103 and 109,**

1.47  **Km 107.8 – BP 108 Alter. – Clay till Overburden/Carbonate Rock**

BP 108 Alter. location has been shifted (vs. 2015 DAR submission) closer to BP 109 as the original location had slope stability concerns. This borrow contains a clay till material suitable for extensive overland volumes required for the ASR. This updated location will share the access road for BP 109.
1.48 Km 108.7- BP 109 Alter. – Clay till Overburden/Carbonate Rock

Large elevated knob with potential clay till type material overlying a sedimentary rock layer underneath. The clay could be utilized for subgrade overland base material, the rock could be ripped and would make excellent subgrade material. Requires 800m access road. Future test pits will determine the precise shape and size.

Figure 65. An example of the exposed sedimentary shale rock at BP 109. It is expected this material would be similar representation for BP 103 and 107.
1.49  **Km 110.8- BP 110**

BP 110 is an additional borrow area not previously identified in the 2015 DAR submission and is response to heavy borrow volume demand required to support overland construction from KP 104 to 111. Silt clay with mixed coarse fragments will provide excellent subgrade material.

![Shovel test near BP 110](image)

**Figure 66.** A shovel test of material near BP 110 indicates excellent material for overland subgrade material.

1.50  **Km 111.6– BP 112 Alter. – Clay/Silt Till**

Noticeable, large elevated ridge expected to be clay/silt/ gravel glacial till mix suitable for overland subgrade material. May encounter carbonate bedrock suitable for rock quarry and crushing underlying the till mix.
Figure 67. The noticeable elevated knob/ridge should provide suitable subgrade borrow. The proposed ASR alignment is located at the lower base of the slope.

1.51 Km 112.0 – BP 112.3 Alter. – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates

A large outwash alluvial fan originating from the nearby Franklin Mountains of the Nahanni Range located east of the defined source. As with much of the other alluvial cobble noted along the route, this source appears to originate from competent carbonate bedrock, making them an environmentally friendly and preferred construction material. Seasonal stream flows during spring thaw. Detailed design would maintain natural drainage channels.

Sufficient volume and area for setting up a crushing plant and for holding stockpiled materials. This area could support aggregate material for long term road maintenance.
Figure 68. Large source of quality limestone gravels and cobbles available along the proposed alternative route near KP 112. Ideal for crusher operation and a stockpile for future maintenance requirements.

1.52 Km 119.1 – BP 119 Alter. – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates

The material deposited at BP 112.3, 118, 119 all originate from the nearby Franklin Mountains of the Nahanni Range in the east. As with much of the other alluvial cobble noted along the route, this source appears to originate from competent carbonate bedrock, making them an environmentally friendly and preferred construction material. Seasonal stream flows during spring thaw. Detailed design would maintain natural drainage channels.

Sufficient volume and area for setting up a crushing plant and for holding stockpiled materials. This area could support aggregate material for long term road maintenance.

Figure 69: An aerial view of BP 119, downstream from BP 118.

1.53 Km 120.2 – BP123A – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates

Where the route passes through the gap in the Front Range Mountains, known locally as Grainger Gap, there is a substantial potential for developing a crushed natural gravel source that can be used surfacing material over large sections of the road. In this section of the Grainger River (or an unnamed major tributary of it) has changed its channel in recent decades, and has left a large deposition channel abandoned to annual runoff. The current annual runoff avulsion channel flows directly south now, leaving a 500 m section of old channel that had flowed eastward abandoned. Based on all available visual evidence in the field, this relict channel hasn't received any appreciable water flow since the time the original winter road was constructed back in the early 1980's – there isn’t any evidence of flows across
that roadway since then. The dry relict channel (BP123A) holds promise for supplying all the material required for a large crushing operation producing surfacing aggregate, as well as other products for road construction. As with much of the other alluvial cobble noted along the route, the Grainger Gap gravels appear to originate from competent carbonate bedrock, making them an environmentally friendly and preferred construction material.

The large relict channel provides a flat plain with ample room for setting up a crushing plant, and for holding stockpiled materials. This area has potential to be a long-term source of maintenance aggregate as well.

Figure 70: Typical gravel materials found in the abandoned channel at BP123A.

Figure 71: Aerial view of the east end of the relict channel where BP123A is proposed. The ASR splits the borrow area, is located through the semi open forested area.
1.54  **Km 120.10 – BP123B – Limestone Bedrock**

Directly adjacent to the relict avulsion channel at BP123A, is a limestone bedrock knob that juts up about 10 m in height from the alluvial plain. This rock knob is readily accessible from flat ground on all sides, making it a simple candidate for developing a blasted rock source in this area. While the eroded rock surface is fairly fractured, there is potential for producing larger shot rock and riprap from interior sections of the rock knob via controlled blasting. The limestone rock would be an environmentally friendly material for stream crossing works.

![Figure 72: View of the isolated limestone rock knob (BP123B) protruding from the BP123A relict channel plain. This knob has level access on all sides of it making it a very simple location to develop for a source of blasted rock.](image)

![Figure 73: Closer view of the limestone bedrock available in BP123B.](image)
1.55  **Km 121.1 – BP124 – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates**

Similar material type as BP 123, BP 118, BP 119, part of the old floodplain of the Grainger River. Preferred location vs. BP 123A because closer proximity to surfacing requirements between KP 125 to 132.

Sufficient volume and area for setting up a crushing plant and for holding stockpiled materials. This area could support aggregate material for long term road maintenance.

![Figure 74: Another aerial view of the large deposit of gravels and cobbles, this one at KP 124.](image)

1.56  **Km 121.40 – BP 125A, 125B - Limestone Bedrock / Limestone Rockslide**

An exposed outcrop of limestone bedrock with talus at the base (125B) would make an excellent rock quarry. The northern tip of BP 125A is in close proximity to the proposed road while BP 125B requires a short 400m access road but offers loose talus rock deposit at the base of the face. Both pits would provide excellent base material for overland construction from KP 124.8 to 127.2.
Figure 75: A view of the exposed rock face of BP 125A. The proposed road is located on the right side of photo at the base of the slope on the historic flood plain of Grainger River.

Figure 76: At view of BP 125B with exposed bedrock and talus rock deposit at the base.

1.57 Km 123.4 – BP126 – Limestone Rockslide (Rock Glacier?)

What appears to be a large limestone rockslide above the road at 126.40 km presents an opportunity to access naturally broken rock in a wide range of sizes, varying from large riprap down to fine rubble. The toe of this slide is about 250 m above the road, and it extends another 500 m uphill toward the originating bedrock cliffs. There is an extensive supply of broken rock in this formation, and it doesn’t require expensive blasting to produce it – just
sorting of the material and loading it out in trucks. It would require an access trail of about 550 m in length to connect this source to the proposed road route in order to utilise it.

When viewed in the field, this formation has all the appearances of a run-out zone from a large past rockslide, but when viewed on satellite photos the toe of the slide seems to have some appearance of “flowing” material. This raised the question of whether this ruble formation is actually a rockslide deposit or a rock covered glacial remnant, and a subsequent field inspection of the surface debris failed to provide a clear answer to that question. It would be necessary to conduct some subsurface excavation to determine if there is ice present in, or under the ruble. If the formation is the remnant of a glacier, the lower toe of the formation may still provide ample thawed rubble material that has resulted from past ice retreat from subsequent melting.

If the formation is just rockslide debris, there is potential to save the substantial costs of drilling and blasting nearby bedrock to generate riprap sources for the many stream crossings within economic haul range. The finer rubble would also be idea for subgrade construction across the many lowland areas nearby, especially over areas of permafrost due to its light, solar reflecting color. If, during the construction of the new winter road, there is an opportunity to probe this location, it would provide a definitive answer as to the material core properties of this formation, and indicate its suitability for providing construction materials.

![Figure 77: View of the top end of ruble source and the near vertical limestone cliff it originated from.](image-url)
Figure 78: Limestone colluvium rock gradation available at the middle of the rubble slope.

Figure 79: Finer material evident at the toe of the rubble slope. If the rubble pile is a rock glacier, this portion may be ice free now, as it is at the terminal end of the debris field.

1.58  Km 125.5 – BP 129 – Alluvial Cobble and Gravels

Potential good source of surfacing gravel. Close proximity to the proposed road location.
Figure 80: An example of the gravels at BP 129.

1.59  **Km 129.2 – BP 132 – Clay/Silt Till**

Clay till borrow material for subgrade overland construction. Expect permafrost around the perimeter.

Figure 81. View of the vegetation cover at BP 132.

1.60  **Km 133.2 – BP 136 – Clay/Silt Till**

Back-up clay/silt/sand till borrow material for subgrade overland construction. Some limited potential for alluvial cobble and gravels within the gully and limestone bedrock quarry on the upper portions.
Figure 82 View looking west from the proposed road location. Limited alluvial gravels located in bottom of draw and exposed outcrops of limestone bedrock upper portions. Side slopes (on the lower right) could provide suitable clay/silt/sand till borrow material for subgrade construction.

1.61 Km–134.90 BP 138 – Exposed Limestone / Carbonate Bedrock

BP 138 contains exposed limestone rock outcrops which could be blasted and crushed for surfacing material. Requires a 1.2 km access road.

Figure 83. A view of the exposed limestone rock outcrops.
1.62  **Km 136.50 – BP 139 - Alluvial Cobble and Gravels - Carbonates**

A large alluvial fan deposit originating from the nearby Nahanni Range in the west. As with much of the other alluvial cobble noted along the route, this source appears to originate from competent carbonate bedrock, making them an environmentally friendly and preferred construction material. Seasonal stream flows during spring thaw. Detailed design would maintain natural drainage channels.

Sufficient volume and area for setting up a crushing plant and for holding stockpiled materials. This area could support aggregate material for long term road maintenance. Requires 2.0 km access road.

![Figure 84. An aerial view of the vast alluvial fan deposit at BP 139.](image)

1.63  **Km 136.90 – BP 140 – Clay/Silt Till**

Back-up clay/silt/sand till borrow material for subgrade overland construction. Potential permafrost surrounding perimeter.
Figure 85. A raised knob vegetated with mature aspen/cottonwood could provide suitable backup borrow material for subgrade construction.

1.64 Km 140.5 – BP 143 – Clay/Silt Till
Back-up clay/silt/sand till borrow material for subgrade overland construction.

Figure 86. Mature aspen covers the proposed backup borrow material at BP 143.

1.65 Km 143.20 – BP 146 – Clay/Silt Till
Back-up clay/silt/sand till borrow material for subgrade overland construction.
1.66  **Km 147.8 – BP 151A, 151B - Alluvial Cobble and Gravels**

Must confirm depth and area but expect shallow source (2m deep) spread over a large fan. Some short access road(s) may be required to develop. A significant size (4m wde) seasonal stream divides the two defined patches. Probably requires crushing.
Figure 89: An aerial view of the large fan at BP 151, KP 151.5.

1.67 Km 153.3, 154.0– BP 154, 156 – Clay/Silt Till

BP 154 and 156 are borrows located on similar geography covered in mature aspen forest consisting of silt/clay till material for subgrade overland construction. BP 156 is an additional borrow area not previously identified in the 2015 DAR submission and is response to heavy borrow volume demand required to support overland construction from KP 151 to 153.

Figure 90: Back-up borrow source option at BP 154.
1.68  **Km 154.50 – BP 158 - Limestone/Carbonate Talus Bedrock**

Excellent source of mixed talus rubble at the base of limestone/carbonate rock face/bedrock. A very strategic aggregate source to supply surfacing requirements and rip rap from KP 155 to 184. Assumes will be extracted by ripping and blasting quarry. Requires a 1.5 km access road.

![Figure 91: An aerial view of large deposit of talus rock at BP 158.](image)

1.69  **Km 155.0 – BP 159A – Rock Debris Deposit**

Similar type of material as BP 158 but more of a deposit mix of limestone based rocks, gravel, , not likely requiring blasting to extract material. A detailed investigation to determine the potential and extent of the deposit must be completed. This source would be strategic location to serve aggregate requirements on both the north side and especially the south side of the Liard River where gravel sources are difficult to find.
1.70  **Km 155.9 – BP 159A – Rock Debris Flow Fan**

On the north side of the proposed Liard River landing/crossing exists a large debris/fan of rubble mix of limestone based rocks, gravel, mixed with clay. A detailed investigation to determine the potential and extent of the deposit must be completed. Likely not a large source of material, but close proximity to ASR works makes it attractive.
Figure 94: On the surface, the material looks attractive but only additional investigation will determine the true potential of the source.