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**POST-REA ENGAGEMENT RECORD AND LOG - NDDB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>NDDB Representative</th>
<th>Engagement Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 19</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Informing Band of INAC IR’s and requesting an engagement meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 5</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Again requesting an engagement meeting and providing a draft IR response for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 13</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Noting a planned Mar. 21 meeting and our hope to discuss the IR’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Chief, councillors</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Discussed main points of IR’s. Advised that engagement with LKFN occurring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 2</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Record of the main points of the Mar. 21 meeting and provide a revised draft IR response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>Introduction to present permit issues including INAC IR’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>Discuss suggestion of Environmental Management Agreement (EMA) between CZN, NDDB and LKFN to address Indigenous participation in All Season Road, and proposal to agree memorandum of understanding (MOU) between same parties to provide framework and funding for negotiation of the EMA. Also discuss a MOU for further negotiation of a supplemental benefits agreement (Traditional Land Use Agreement (TLUA)). CZN drafted an MOU for further negotiation of a TLUA after the call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>Further discuss EMA, NDDB’s report on talks between NDD and LKFN re EMA. Agreed that an MOU be developed. CZN produced a draft the next day. Discuss plans for meeting to continue TLUA negotiations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>Discuss NDDB and LKFN comments on draft MOU for EMA. Discuss NDDB comments on draft MOU for TLUA, and details for future negotiation meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Received signed MOU (renamed Process Agreement) for EMA negotiation from NDDB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Chief; Councillor Konisenta and Advisors</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. The parties agreed to commence negotiation of an EMA, and pencilled-in meeting dates for June, July and August, with the target of concluding an agreement by August 31. NDDB-LKFN agreed to discuss EMA approach with DFN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Chief; Councillors*, legal counsel and advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. 1st EMA meeting. Discussed funding for EMA negotiations, support for changes to existing permits, letter to Minister re Process Agreement for EMA negotiation, letter to DFN re support for EMA, suitable model for EMA. Agreed EMA will cover Indigenous group involvement on all aspects of the ASR as per the REA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>Councillors*, legal counsel and advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. Discussed funding and finance for EMA negotiations, support for changes to existing permits, proposed summer fieldwork (invasives, soil sampling, preliminary AIA for main geotech. investigation locations). Re EMA, discussed committee to oversee/manage implementation, composition, Dene monitoring, training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 14</td>
<td>Chief, SAO, Councillor Konisenta, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with LKFN. Discussed latest draft EMA agreement, EMA committee composition, composition of Dene monitors, frequency and costs of monitoring. Update on summer fieldwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 22</td>
<td>Councillor</td>
<td>Conference</td>
<td>Joint call with LKFN. Discussed latest draft EMA agreement, frequency and costs of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Councillor Konisenta, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with LKFN. Discussed preparations for meeting next week, latest changes to draft EMA agreement, cost estimate for EMA implementation including monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 26</td>
<td>Councillors*, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. Discussed key provisions in the draft EMA agreement, basis for cost estimate for EMA implementation, including objectives, frequency and workload for monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 28</td>
<td>Chief, Councillors*, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. Discussed key provisions in the revised draft EMA agreement, EMA cost estimate provisions for coordination and administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>Legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with LKFN to discuss roles and provisions for coordinator and administration in EMA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 15</td>
<td>Chief, Councillors*, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Ceremony</td>
<td>TLUA signed in Nahanni Butte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 16</td>
<td>Chief, Councillors*, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with LKFN. Further discussion on key provisions in the revised draft EMA agreement, and roles and cost estimate provisions for coordination and administration. Also, the entity that will contract EMA services to the Mine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* J. Konisenta and J. Betsaka
## POST-REA ENGAGEMENT RECORD AND LOG - LKFN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>LKFN Representative</th>
<th>Engagement Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 19</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Informing Band of INAC IR’s and requesting an engagement meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 1</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>LKFN letter to CanNor</td>
<td>Letter cc to CZN, received Mar. 13. Notes that CZN’s plans to respond to the IR’s have not been disclosed, that LKFN will need to seek advice, and that adequate resources are required for meaningful engagement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 5</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Again requesting an engagement meeting and providing a draft IR response for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 6</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>LKFN letter</td>
<td>To CZN, received Mar. 13. Repeats and expands on expectations for engagement, including request for workplan and agenda, and provides some comments on the draft IR replies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 13</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Noted receipt of Mar. 1 and 6 letters. Questioned the need for provisions for technical advice prior to permitting, noting CZN is not in a position to consider such provisions. Stated that CZN will draft a workplan and agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 22</td>
<td>Chief, staff</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>LKFN re-iterated engagement requirements, including adequate resources. LKFN advised an expected budget of $80,000-120,000. CZN discussed project and independent monitoring. CZN referred to the Environmental Management Agreement (EMA) that LKFN had recently signed with Enbridge, and that CZN would be willing to consider a similar approach for the all season road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 2</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Letter to Band</td>
<td>Record of the main points of the Mar. 22 meeting and a revised draft IR response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 13</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Email to Chief</td>
<td>Requesting meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 18</td>
<td>Chief &amp; Legal Counsel</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Discussed IR’s and concept of Environmental Management Agreement, importance of co-operation between Indigenous groups and position of NDDB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 24</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Proposed interim MOU to provide structure and a road map to ongoing negotiations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 28</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>NDDB and LKFN Chiefs have agreed to work together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2</td>
<td>Legal Counsel</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>NDDB and LKFN agreed to work together on the Environment Management Agreement concept. Discussed outline contents of EMA and possible parties thereto.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>CZN provided a draft MOU for an EMA, sent to NDDB who subsequently shared a copy of it and discussed it with LKFN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 15-16</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Email reply</td>
<td>Comments provided on draft MOU for EMA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>Legal counsel</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Received signed MOU (renamed Process Agreement) for EMA negotiation from LKFN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Chief &amp; Legal Counsel</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. The parties agreed to commence negotiation of an EMA, and pencilled-in meeting dates for June, July and August, with the target of concluding an agreement by August 31. NDDB-LKFN agreed to discuss EMA approach with DFN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Chief; legal counsel, staff, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. 1st EMA meeting. Discussed funding for EMA negotiations, support for changes to existing permits, letter to Minister re Process Agreement for EMA negotiation, letter to DFN re support for EMA, suitable model for EMA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>Chief, Councillors*, legal counsel and advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. Discussed funding and finance for EMA negotiations, support for changes to existing permits, proposed summer fieldwork (invasives, soil sampling, preliminary AIA for main geotech. investigation locations). Re EMA, discussed committee to oversee/manage implementation, composition, Dene monitoring, training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 14</td>
<td>Legal counsel, staff, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with NDDB. Discussed latest draft EMA agreement, EMA committee composition, composition of Dene monitors, frequency and costs of monitoring. Update on summer fieldwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 22</td>
<td>Legal counsel, staff, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with NDDB. Discusses latest draft EMA agreement, frequency and costs of monitoring. Update on summer fieldwork.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Legal counsel, staff, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with NDDB. Discussed preparations for meeting next week, latest changes to draft EMA agreement, cost estimate for EMA implementation including monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 26</td>
<td>Chief, Councillor Nahanni, legal counsel, staff, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. Discussed key provisions in the draft EMA agreement, basis for cost estimate for EMA implementation, including objectives, frequency and workload for monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 28</td>
<td>Chief, staff, Councillors Nahanni and Menicoche, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. Discussed key provisions in the revised draft EMA agreement, EMA cost estimate provisions for coordination and administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>Legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Conference call</td>
<td>Joint call with NDDB to discuss roles and provisions for coordinator and administration in EMA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 16</td>
<td>Chief, staff, Councillors Menicoche and Martineau, legal counsel, advisor</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Joint meeting with NDDB. Further discussion on key provisions in the revised draft EMA agreement, and roles and cost estimate provisions for coordination and administration. Also, the entity that will contract EMA services to the Mine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* K. Nahanni, H. Tsetso
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>DFN Representative</th>
<th>Engagement Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 22</td>
<td>Grand Chief</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>Discussed INAC Information Requests re REA - DFN willing to engage with Canadian Zinc in ongoing consultation process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 19</td>
<td>Grand Chief</td>
<td>Letter to DFN</td>
<td>Informing DFN of INAC IR’s and requesting an engagement meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 5</td>
<td>Grand Chief</td>
<td>Letter to DFN</td>
<td>Again requesting an engagement meeting and providing draft IR response for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 13</td>
<td>Grand Chief</td>
<td>Letter to DFN</td>
<td>Again requesting an engagement meeting. Noted that CZN would be visiting Fort Simpson the week of March 19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Mr Nyuli, exec asst</td>
<td>Call</td>
<td>Mr Nyuli explained DFN relies on the Bands to take positions and then supports them. CZN understood it’s not necessary to engage with DFN prior to resolving matters with the Bands. CZN asked for confirmation of the call by email. No email was received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>Grand Chief, exec director, exec asst</td>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>CZN provided a corporate overview, a summary of project development plans, and a description of activities occurring at site last summer. CZN also explained the fieldwork undertaken on the ASR last summer. CZN talked about the approval of the ASR REA, and initiation of EMA negotiations with NDDB and LKFN. The Grand Chief said she was supportive of the negotiations, and was happy for the First Nations (FN) to take the lead. She also said she planned to speak to the FN about DFN support, for example with respect to the training of environmental monitors for which DFN already has a successful program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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June 7, 2018

The Honourable Carolyn Bennett, M.P., P.C.
Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada

Dear Minister Bennett:

Re: Response to Information Requests #4 and #5 re Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision re Canadian Zinc Corporation’s Prairie Creek All-Season Road MV2012LI-0005 and MV2012F007

We are writing with respect to Information Requests #4 & 5, sent by Canada on January 18, 2018 to Canadian Zinc Corporation (CZN), concerning the Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision for the Prairie Creek Mine All-Season Road.

Naha Dehé Dene Band (NDDB) and Łíı̨dlį́ Kų́ę̓ First Nation (LKFN) have been meeting with CZN to discuss the goal of a Dene-led environmental monitoring program for the road project. Based on those discussions of our Dene communities’ goals and needs, we agreed to a Process Agreement with CZN to enable and facilitate effective communication, consultation and negotiation of an Environmental Monitoring Agreement between the Parties. The Process Agreement sets out the process by which the Parties will negotiate and enter into an EMA for the all season road (ASR) including commitments on the funding required to complete those negotiations.

The EMA is intended as a formal mechanism to ensure the mitigation measures and CZN’s environmental protection commitments outlined in the Report of EA are appropriately implemented, and Indigenous group concerns are addressed. The environmental agreement will provide for the implementation of commitments related to environmental monitoring, follow-up programs and adaptive management, and will include a Dene guardian program for environmental monitoring.

Most importantly for NDDB and LKFN, the Parties have agreed to propose to you as the Federal Minister of Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs that you include in your decision on the Review Board Report a condition, or a direction to the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board and Parks Canada, that permits for the ASR not be issued before an EMA is negotiated and concluded between the Parties. On behalf of NDDB and LKFN, we urge you to consider this request during your consideration of this matter.
In the event you are unable to include such condition, or provide such direction to
the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board and Parks Canada, CZN has agreed to
request and recommend to the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board and Parks
Canada that permits for the ASR contain a condition that an EMA is to be negotiated
and concluded between the Parties before any management or construction plans
associated with other conditions in the permits can be approved.

On this basis, we support the approval of the Report of Environmental Assessment
so this project can proceed to the MVLWB for consideration of the appropriate
permits and licences.

Sincerely,

Chief Peter Marcellais
Naha Dehé Dene Band

Chief Gerry Antoine
Éliée Kiçe First Nation

cc. Ms. Joanne Deneron
Chair, Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

Grand Chief Herb Norwegian
Deh Cho First Nations

Stephen M. Van Dine
Assistant Deputy Minister, Northern Affairs Operation
The Honourable Carolyn Bennett, M.P., P.C.
Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada

June 14, 2018

Dear Minister Bennett:

Re: Response to information Requests re
Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision re
Canada Zinc Corporation's Prairie Creek All-Season Road
MV2012LI-0005 and MV2012F007

We are writing to provide further with respect to our joint letter of June, with
Liidlii Kue First Nation on the Information Requests, sent by Canada on January 18, 2018 to Canadian
Zinc Corporation (CZN), concerning the Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision
for the Prairie creek Mine All-Season Road.

Please be advised that the Naha Dehe Dene Band is satisfied with the responses to all Information
Requests and on the basis outline in the original letter supports the approval of the Report of Environment
Assessment so this project can proceed to
The MVLWB for consideration of the appropriate permits and licences.

Sincerely,

Chief Peter Marcellais
Naha Dehe Dene Band

cc. Chief Gerry Antoine
    Liidlii Kue First Nation

    Ms. Joanne Deneron
    Chair, Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board

    Grand Chief Herb Norwegian
    Deh Cho First Nation

    Mr. Stephen M. Van Dine
    Assistant Deputy Minister, Northern Affairs Operation
The Honorable Carolyn Bennett, M.P., P.C.
Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada

Dear Minister Bennett:

Re: Response to Information Requests re
Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision re
Canadian Zinc Corporation’s Prairie Creek All-Season Road
MV2012L1-0005 and MV2012F007

I am writing on behalf of Líídlįį Kųę First Nation to provide further clarification regarding the joint letter sent by LKFN and Nahraq Dehé Dene Band regarding Canada’s January 18, 2018 Information Requests to Canadian Zinc Corporation (CZN) about the Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision for the Prairie Creek Mine All-Season Road.

Please be advised that LKFN is satisfied with the responses to all Information Requests. and on the basis outlined in the original letter (namely, that the process is in place to negotiate an Environmental Management Agreement) supports the approval of the Report of Environmental Assessment so this project can proceed to the MVLWB for consideration of the appropriate permits and licenses.

Mahsi,

Chief Gerald Antoine
Líídlįį Kųę First Nation

cc. Chief Peter Marcellais
Nahraq Dehé Dene Band
via email: chief.nbdb@gmail.com

Ms. Joanne Deneron
Chair, Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board
via email: mcliffephillips@reviewboard.ca; admin@reviewboard.ca

Grand Chief Herb Norwegian
Deh Cho First Nations
via email: herb_norwegian@dehcho.org; executiveassistant@dehcho.org
Mr. Stephen M. Van Dine
Assistant Deputy Minister, Northern Affairs Operation
via email: Stephen.VanDine@aadnc-aandc.ge.ca
Chief Antoine
Liidlij K'úé First Nation
PO Box 469
Fort Simpson, NT
X0E 0N0

July 4, 2018

Dear Chief Antoine:

**Update on Canadian Zinc and Request for Regional Support for Dene-Led Environmental Monitoring and Management Process Proposed All Season Road into the Prairie Creek Mine.**

Dehcho First Nations ("DFN") is in receipt of your June 8, 2018 letter and shares the recognition and importance of providing updated information on economic processes coupled with Dene community development objectives and Dene leadership in environmental management in Denendeh.

DFN is pleased to learn of your progress regarding the recently signed Process Agreement with Canadian Zinc ("CZN"). This ensures that a Dene monitoring program and Dene knowledge will be a foundational part of the environmental planning for the construction and ongoing operation of CZN’s proposed all season road, of which LKFN will be apart. DFN supports your negotiations towards an Environmental Management Agreement ("EMA") which will include Dene-led environmental monitoring and use of Dene knowledge for environmental planning for the road project and decision-making on environmental matters through the mechanism of a joint environmental management committee.

DFN is pleased to see, as evident in your June 14, 2018 letter to the Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs, that LKFN is satisfied with the June 8, 2014 CZN responses to all of the January 19, 2018 Information Requests issued by Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.

DFN therefore confirms our support of your intention to negotiate an EMA, and that we are also satisfied with CZN’s responses to all of the information requests. Furthermore, based on your intention to negotiate an EMA, we confirm our support for the approval of the Mackenzie Valley Review Board’s September 12, 2017 Report of Environmental
Assessment.

Mahsi,

[Signature]

Stanley Sanguez
Acting Grand Chief
Dehcho First Nation

cc. Adrian Paradis, Northern Projects Management Office
    Steven Van Dine, Assistant Deputy Minister, Indian and Northern Affairs
    David Harpley, VP Environment, Canadian Zinc
February 14, 2019

David Harpley
VP, Environment and Permitting Affairs
NorZinc (Canadian Zinc)

Re: NorZinc use of Hoverbarge to cross Liard River

Dear Mr. Harpley,

NorZinc has explained the need for a Liard River crossing mechanism that minimizes the crossing restrictions in spring and fall with break-up and freeze-up and that the operation of a hover barge, which is a barge riding on an air cushion, but propelled by cables and a winch (unlike a hovercraft which uses a large, noisy fan) is their preferred option to address this issue.

NorZinc also explained that the air cushion enables crossings year-round, except for the time of ice break up/movement and during floods, when operations would be suspended. An ice bridge would still be used later in winter. This will limit the closure of the road to only one to two weeks a year as opposed to a longer period with a conventional barge. Longer operations in these areas leads to more employment for barge operators and other road operations which would be employing Nahanni Butte members.

NorZinc further clarified that the air cushion remains in contact with the water surface (unlike a hovercraft), and as a result spray, water turbulence and noise is much less. NorZinc also explained that there are cable ferry regulations that would be followed for hover barge operation, which use a red light, green light system for safer use of the river for other river traffic.

Based on the above assumptions, NDDB supports the use of a hover barge for all season road traffic to cross the Liard River.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Chief Darrell Betsaka
Naha Dehé Dene Band
Band Council Resolution (TLUA)

BCR# 21/15/2019-01

Date: January 14, 2019

First Nations: Nahanni Butte First Nation

Whereas the Nahanni Butte Dene Band ("NDDB") has entered into a Traditional Land Use Agreement in respect of the all-season road to connect the Prairie Creek Mine to NWT Highway #7 as described in the report of the Mackenzie Valley Environmental Impact Review Board entitled: “EA1415-01: Canadian Zinc Corp., Prairie Creek All Season Road Undertaking Report of Environmental Assessment and Reasons for Decision”.

Whereas the all-season road will pass through lands set aside for NDDB and held by the Indian Affairs Branch, and described as identified by INAC as Reserve No. 95 G/3-11-2 ("IAB Lands")..

Whereas CZN will be applying for a License of Occupation for that portion of the road that falls within the IAB lands, covering the expected footprint of the proposed all season road and applying for a surface lease for the proposed laydown area within the IAB lands on the south side of the Liard River.

It is therefore resolved that:

1. NDDB consents to INAC granting CZN:
   a) a License of Occupation for that portion of the road that falls within the IAB lands, covering the expected footprint of the proposed all season road; and
   b) a surface lease for the proposed laydown area within the IAB lands on the south side of the Liard River.

   (together the “Road Lands”)

2. NDDB agrees to relinquish any NDDB interest in the Road Lands.
3. NDDB will submit a request to the relevant regulatory authorities that, after mine and road closure, CZN not be required to reclaim or alter the section of the road or the staging area on the portions of the relinquished IAB Lands, or the section of the road on territorial land between the IAB Lands and the Nahanni Butte Access Road, so that those improvements can continue to be used by the NDDB in all seasons.

Chief Darrell Betsaka

Councillor

Councillor

Councillor

Councillor

Councillor
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February 2019
Introduction

CZN has had a regional presence in Fort Simpson for over a decade. CZN hired Wilbert Antoine as its Manager of Northern Development, based in Fort Simpson, in the summer of 2008. Mr. Antoine assumed the main community interaction function on behalf of CZN. CZN expects to maintain and expand its presence in the region to support development of the Prairie Creek project and for local community participation.

CZN concluded an Impact Benefits Agreement (IBA) in January 2011 with the Naha Dehe Dene Band (NDDB), and an IBA with the Liidlii Kue First Nation (LKFN) in June 2011 for the project which, at that time, was envisioned as a Mine serviced by a winter road. These IBA’s include provisions for community liaison positions and multiple committee meetings each year to review the implementation of agreement provisions and mechanisms to address any community concerns. The IBA’s will also apply to the All Season Road (ASR).

During EA0809-002 for the Mine and winter road, CZN developed the concept of a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to provide a formal mechanism for engagement with Indigenous groups and to address concerns. A draft terms of reference for the TAC was provided in CZN’s reply to the 2nd round of information requests. A copy is attached. The scope of the TAC will be extended to broadly include the ASR.

Subsequent to the issue of the Report of EA for EA1415-01, CZN agreed to negotiate an Environmental Management Agreement (EMA) with the NDDB and LKFN to provide for the participation of the First Nations in all aspects of the ASR, including independent Dene monitoring. As further explained below, the EMA and TAC will provide the cornerstones of CZN’s formal engagement for the ASR, while informal engagement via CZN’s presence in the region will continue.

EMA

An EMA, which CZN is currently in advanced negotiations on with the NDDB and LKFN, will provide for the participation of the First Nations in the ASR development, including pre-construction planning and the development of management and monitoring plans, implementation of various measures recommended by the Review Board in the REA, the sharing and incorporation of Dene traditional knowledge and values into project design, and independent Dene monitoring of the environment and wildlife.
Implementation of the EMA will be overseen and managed by a Road Oversight Committee (ROC), composed of CZN, NDDB and LKFN representatives. The EMA has provisions such that the ROC can determine its’ own terms of reference, by mutual consent, and make adjustments to review and monitoring requirements as necessary. The ROC will appoint a coordinator to direct and manage independent environmental monitors. The ROC will meet approximately 3 times per year, or more or less frequently, as the situation dictates, again by mutual consent. Provisions are also made for feedback to the respective communities on an annual basis, via reporting and ‘town hall’ meetings. The schedule of ROC meetings is aligned with the TAC for efficiency. The intention is that the ROC will summarize implementation of the EMA and road operation results at the broader TAC meetings, at which other Indigenous groups will have the opportunity for review and consideration.

In the REA, Suggestion 15-4:

“The Review Board suggests that the mandate and activities of the TAC be expanded, such that the TAC can design and approve its own Terms of Reference, including consideration of:

i. the frequency, objectives and scope of site visits; and

ii. input into adaptive management frameworks including setting appropriate and protective action levels;

iii. ways the TAC can support or compliment the Aboriginal monitoring initiatives referred to in Measure 15-4, such as:
   o ensuring that Traditional Knowledge is collected and used appropriately,
   o sharing resources, and
   o providing a venue for addressing community concerns and reporting to communities.”

The EMA will include provisions addressing all of these requirements, and in so doing the EMA will effectively mean that the mandate and activities of the TAC will be expanded and Suggestion 15-4 will be fulfilled.

**TLUA**

CZN agreed to negotiate a Traditional Land Use Agreement (TLUA) with the NDDB. The TLUA will provide benefits to the NDDB in addition to those included in the IBA, in recognition of the additional impacts associated with the ASR. The TLUA delivers a framework such that preference for training, employment, business contracts, and community capacity programs are available to NDDB. The TLUA includes the establishment of a committee to oversee implementation of the Agreement, and to support NDDB liaison roles to gain employment and business capacity for the benefit of NDDB Members and NDDB Businesses. The committee will also meet formally three times per year, on the same schedule as the EMA and TAC. Also similarly, at least annual community meetings to discuss the implementation of the TLUA are expected. The TLUA was signed on January 15, 2019 in Nahanni Butte with participation of NDDB Chief and Council, NDDB band members and CZN representatives.

**RBA**
CZN is negotiating a Road Benefit Agreement (RBA) with LKFN to similarly provide benefits additional to the IBA in relation to the ASR. While the RBA has not yet been concluded, it is anticipated to have similar provisions as the TLUA with respect to advantages for training, employment, business contracts, and community capacity programs.

**Event Sponsorships**

CZN sponsors the annual Winterfest in Nahanni Butte, and the annual Canadian Zinc Open Golf Tournament in Fort Simpson which provide opportunities for CZN Leadership to engage with NDDB and LKFN members in an informal venue.