

Sahtu Land Use Plan – checklist for determining conformity – Norman Wells Wharf Repair - DFO February 21, 2017

Conformity Requirement Sahtu Land Use Plan	Evaluation Criteria	YES	NO	Rationale / Mitigation
#1 Land Use Zoning	Is the application exempt: a) Emergency b) Harvesting rights c) Within an Established Protected Area d) Legacy land use e) Municipal use f) Cleanup of contaminated site	Yes		Activity located within the municipal boundaries of Norman Wells
	What zone is the activity proposed in? (Check map 2 in SLUP)	Special Management Zone #63 Deh Cho Mackenzie River		
	Is the activity permitted in this zone? (check Table 3 in SLUP)	Yes		
#2 Community Engagement and Traditional Knowledge	Is there a Traditional Knowledge (TK) study included with the application?		No	Application did not require TEK study. RRC was consulted about TEK.
	Is there an Engagement Record and Plan included with the application?	Yes		
# 3 Community Benefits	Is there a signed access and benefits agreement?			Federal Lands – not required
	What other benefits will there be to residents, the community, or the broader public interest? (environmental, social, cultural, economic)			Repair of wharf will be of benefit to the community who use it for activities
# 4 Archaeological Sites and Burial Sites	Are proposed land uses 500 m away from known burial sites or 150 m away from known or suspected archaeological sites?	Yes		No sites identified
# 5 Watershed Management	Is there potential for the activity to impact water quality, quantity and rate of flow for waters that flow on, through, or are		No	

Conformity Requirement Sahtu Land Use Plan	Evaluation Criteria	YES	NO	Rationale / Mitigation
	adjacent to Sahtu lands or within SMZs, CZs and PCIs?			
# 6 Drinking Water	Will the proposed activity result in the contamination of surface or groundwater within community catchments? (check map 13 in SLUP Background Report)		No	
CR # 7 Fish and Wildlife	Is there information about wildlife species of interest, species at risk, their habitat, migration patterns and important community harvesting areas? (check map 3 in SLUP) If yes, has the Proponent consulted with ENR, CWS, DFO, PCA, SRRB, local RRCs to ensure special mitigation measures are considered?	Yes		DFO Fisheries Protection was consulted with during the project planning and because the project is a repair project and no new infilling will occur the project can be self-assessed . The PED that is included in the application outlines the mitigation measures to avoid harm to fish and fish habitat. RPSS will follow the appropriate timing windows for the in-water work.
CR # 8 Species Introductions	Is there potential for the introduction of non-native plants and animals?	Yes		
	If yes, have methods been proposed to prevent species introductions?	Yes		Equipment will be cleaned prior to use
CR # 9 Sensitive Species and Features	Will the activity be located in areas of rare or maybe-at-risk plants, glacial refugia, hot and warm springs, mineral licks, karst topography, amphibian habitat, ice patches? (check map 4 in SLUP and Guide to Species at Risk in the NWT (2016 edition) available at www.nwt-species-at-risk.ca ; and Important Wildlife Areas in the Western Northwest Territories 2012 Joanna Wilson and		No	

Sahtu Land Use Plan – checklist for determining conformity – Norman Wells Wharf Repair - DFO February 21, 2017

Conformity Requirement Sahtu Land Use Plan	Evaluation Criteria	YES	NO	Rationale / Mitigation
	Claudia Haas – ENR Manuscript Report No. 221)			
CR # 10 Permafrost	Will the activity affect permafrost?		No	
	If yes, have mitigation measures been described to prevent or mitigate impacts to permafrost?			NA
CR # 11 Project-Specific Monitoring	Was there community input on key values to be monitored? (water, fish, caribou)	Yes		Consultation with the Norman Wells Land Corporation
	Is there an assessment of potential impacts from the proposed activity on the identified values?			NA
CR # 12 Financial Security	Has security been calculated?		No	
CR # 13 Closure and Reclamation	Is there a description of the closure and reclamation plan for the activity? Has a Closure and Reclamation Plan been included or is there an associated water licence with requirement for submission of a Closure and Reclamation Plan or Interim Closure and Reclamation Plan (ICRP)?		No	Will not be required as this is a repair project only
CR # 14 Protection of Special Values	Is the land use activity proposed within a SMZ, CZ or PCI?	Yes		
	If yes, have the values to be protected been described and potential impacts to those values assessed?	Yes		Water quality and riparian habitat will be protected through the use of a silt curtain to prevent the release of deleterious substances in the river and we will adhere to the appropriate timing windows to protect spawning activity. The community will be notified through the Town of Norman

Conformity Requirement Sahtu Land Use Plan	Evaluation Criteria	YES	NO	Rationale / Mitigation
				Wells of times when the facility will not be available for public use due to construction activities.
	Have mitigation measures been identified to protect these identified values?	Yes		DFO Fisheries Protection was consulted with during the project planning and because the project is a repair project and no new infilling will occur the project can be self-assessed . The PED that is included in the application outlines the mitigation measures to avoid harm to fish and fish habitat. RPSS will follow the appropriate timing windows for the in-water work. The Town of Norman Wells has been notified of the project and will keep users informed of key construction activities when the facility will not be available.