Review Comment Table

Board:	SLWB	
Review Item:	CCG Wharf Repair Management Plans	
File(s):	S16L8-002	
Proponent:	Department of Fisheries and Oceans	
Document(s):	Cover Letter - Revised Management Plans (100 kB) CCG Wharf Repair - Environmental Management Plan (869 kB) CCG Wharf Repair - Sediment and Erosion Control Plan (4.8 MB)	
Item For Review Distributed On:	Dec 6 at 17:07 <u>Distribution List</u>	
Reviewer Comments Due By:	Jan 10, 2018	
Proponent Responses Due By:	Jan 17, 2018	
Item Description:	Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) has submitted a revised Environmental Management Plan in accordance with Part B, item 6 of Water Licence S16L8-002, and a Sediment and Erosion Control Plan in accordance with Part G, item 1, for activities related to the repair of the Canadian Coast Guard Wharf in Norman Wells, NT. These Plans are for Board approval. Reviewers are invited to submit questions, comments and recommendations on the following submissions by January 10, 2018: • Environmental Management Plan, and • Sediment and Erosion Control Plan.	
General Reviewer Information:	If you have any questions or comments regarding this review or the Online Review System, please contact Bonnie Bergsma at (867) 496-2778 or bonnie.bergsma@slwb.com.	
Contact Information:	Bonnie Bergsma	

Comment Summary

Env	Environment and Climate Change Canada: Gabriel Bernard-Lacaille			
ID	Topic	Reviewer	Proponent Response	Board Staff

		Comment/Recommendation		Response
1	General	Comment ECCC has reviewed in accordance with its mandate and doesn't have any comments at this time. Recommendation Not applicable.	Jan 11: No response required.	
Fisl	heries and Oce	ans Canada: Triage Group F	isheries Protection Program	n
ID	Торіс	Reviewer Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Board Staff Response
	General Comment - No DFO Concerns	Comment The dredging and wharf repair proposal has been reviewed to determine whether it is likely to result in serious harm to fish which is prohibited under subsection 35(1) of the Fisheries Act unless authorized. This proposal has also been reviewed to determine whether it is likely to affect listed aquatic species at risk, any part of their critical habitat or the residences of their individuals in a manner which is prohibited under sections 32, 33 and subsection 58(1) of the Species at Risk Act, unless authorized. Provided that the plans are implemented in the manner, and during the timeframe, described, DFO has determined that this proposal will not result in serious harm to fish or prohibited effects on listed aquatic species at risk. As such, an authorization under the Fisheries Act or a permit under the Species at Risk Act is not required. Recommendation In order to comply with the Fisheries Act, it is recommended that		

		the proponent follow DFO's		
		guidance tools which can be		
		found at the following		
		website (http://www.dfo-		
		mpo.gc.ca/pnw-		
		ppe/measures-		
		mesures/measures-mesures-		
		eng.html). Should these plans		
		change or if information has		
		been from the proposal,		
		further review by DFO may		
		be required. Consult our		
		website or consult with a		
		qualified environmental		
		consultant to determine if		
		further review may be		
		necessary. It remains your		
		responsiblity such that the		
		proposal meets the criteria for		
		a site specific review, as		
		described on our website		
		(www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-		
		ppe/index-eng.html), the		
		proponent should submit a		
		request for review form to		
		DFO, which is also available		
,		on the website.		
GN	WT - ENR: C	entral Email GNWT		
ID	Tonio	Reviewer	Proposet Pospose	Board Staff
שו	Topic	Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Response
11	General File	Comment (doc) ENR Letter		
		with Comments and		
		Recommendations		
		Recommendation		
1	Topic 1:	Commont During END's	Inn 11. It is proposed to	l

ID	Topic	Reviewer	Proponent Response	Board Staff
ш		Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Kesponse	Response
11	General File	Comment (doc) ENR Letter		
		with Comments and		
		Recommendations		
		Recommendation		
1	Topic 1:	Comment During ENR's	Jan 11: It is proposed to	
	Dredging	initial review comments	sample dredged material	
		submitted on March 20th,	every 10m along the face of	
		2017, additional information	the wharf and send the	
		was requesting regarding	samples for laboratory	
		management and disposal of	testing to confirm that the	
		sediments excavated from the	material is suitable for	
		wharf area. The plans note	reuse at the landfill.	
		that dredged material will		
		disposed of at the Town of		
		Norman Wells (the Town)		

	landfill. It is unclear if this material will be sampled prior to its acceptance at the landfill. ENR is concerned that if any contamination exists in the sediment, such as petroleum hydrocarbons, there may be future issues at the landfill and environmental liability that may be transferred to the Town. Additionally, it is unclear if any written agreements have been reached with the Town to deposit this material in the landfill, or if a suitable area has been identified that would prevent migration of high TSS water to surrounding surface waters during placement or following rain events. Recommendation 1) ENR recommends that DFO outline any sampling protocols that will be undertaken of the sediment material to confirm its acceptability for disposal at the Town of Norman Wells		
NT	landfill.	T 11. T 1	
None	Comment None Recommendation 2) ENR recommends that DFO provide any information on written agreements that exist with the Town of Norman Wells regarding the acceptance of dredged material or other deposits of waste. A letter of permission from the Town of Norman Wells is required prior to depositing the discussed wastes.	Jan 11: Town has confirmed by email that they will accept this waste with conditions. The Town will prepare and provide the project with a letter stating this.	

3	None	Comment None	Jan 11: Waste metal will	
3	None	Recommendation 3)	be disposed of at the Town	
		Additionally, if there is	landfill	
		•	landini	
		additional waste generated		
		from the project, where will it be stored?		
_	T		T 44 FDI	
4	Topic 2:		Jan 11: The intent is to re-	
	Wharf	wharf rehabilitation, it is	use excavated material,	
	Rehabilitation		provided it is suitable. If	
		gravel will be excavated from		
		the wharf to allow for	at the Town landfill for use	
		T	as cover.	
		piling which will occur prior		
		to the placement of 20 mm		
		minus gravel on the upper		
		deck of the wharf. It is		
		unclear in the project		
		description if the material		
		that is removed during the		
		initial stage of the project is		
		the same material that will be		
		used for the completion of		
		the project or if this		
		excavated material will also		
		require disposal.		
		Recommendation 1) ENR		
		recommends that DFO clarify		
		if the excavated gravel from		
		the dock will be re-used on		
		the upper deck of the wharf.		
5	None	Comment None	Jan 11: Every 100 m3 of	
		Recommendation 2) If the	material to be taken to the	
		gravel is being removed,	Town landfill will be	
		ENR requests clarification on	sampled and sent for	
		1	laboratory testing.	
		locations. Please refer to		
		comments related to material		
		disposal in previous		
		comment.		
6	Topic 3:	Comment In reference to the	Jan 11: Approximately 1.2	
1	*	following part of the Plan: 1.	m of ice thickness will be	
	Erosion		required to support the	
	1	_	dredging equipment. It is	
		ice will be done by flooding	anticipated that up to	
		the ice 2 to 3 weeks prior to	approximately 0.5 m of ice	
		dredging. There is no	will be built-up by	
		areaging. There is no	oc odiit ap oj	

			flooding, requiring approximately 1500 m3 of water (to be added to the Water Licence Terms and Conditions), which will be pumped from the Mackenzie River in the immediate vicinity of the wharf. Up to approximately 100 mm of water will be placed on top of the ice cover and allowed to freeze before repeating the process with next layer.	
7		Comment None Recommendation 2) It is recommended that details of water usage, including what water source(s) will be utilized, and what volumes of water will be required by DOT?	Jan 11: Approximately 1.2 m of ice thickness will be required to support the dredging equipment. It is anticipated that up to	
	Sediment and Erosion Control Plan and Environmental Management Plan – 1) Monitoring	Comment In reference to the statement: "Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) will have an inspector on site during the construction to monitor work activities." Recommendation 1) Please update your records as INAC only inspects federally retained lands in the NWT.	be corrected to be the GNWT Land Inspector.	Documentation to be corrected to the GNWT Water Resource Officer.

	The regulator is the GWNT for this project; therefore, the project will be monitored and inspected by the GNWT Water Resource Officer.		
Environmental Management Plan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jan 11: The contractor will be directed to do so and this requirement will be part of his Spill Contingency Plan	
Environmental Management Plan	potential impacts on fishing nets along the river. Recommendation 1) It is recommended DFO provide	Jan 11: The project will implement the best suited approach to notification as recommended by the Board or other community stakeholders.	