

From: [Lands Pehdzeh Ki](#)
To: [Miki Ehrlich](#)
Cc: [D'Arcy Moses](#)
Subject: MV2013P0011 - Enbridge - Land Use Permit Application
Date: Friday, June 28, 2013 10:32:12 PM

Hello Miki,

I am replying to the above noted LUP for EP. When you called or notified D'Arcy J. Moses at PKFN office today, I was traveling. D'Arcy indicated that PKFN needs to respond and I am in the process of making comments and raising concerns.

While the comments are a bit late, I am requesting they be included when MVLWB makes their final decision.

As you may know, PKFN has always indicated to MVLWB that their wishes be adhered to while dealing with industry and developments in their traditional territory. There are many reasons to this concern and PKFN still remain solid in their decisions surrounding LUP for EP or any other industry companies working in or around PKFN traditional lands.

PKFN has made numerous request to EP regarding this LUP and clearly indicated to EP that PKFN wishes to conduct their own TEK for their LUP, which is required. This dialogue for TEK has been ongoing for sometime and again at a community information sharing session on June 4th, 2013. EP's continued to delay PKFN efforts by indicating that we submit to their timelines and their wishes rather than PKFN's. If any TEK were to take place, it should come from and conducted directly by the First Nation, this has always been done in the past by PKFN. EP went ahead and contracted a company which PKFN has no knowledge of and asked PKFN to work with these people. Now, when talking or dealing with TEK, this matter is of paramount importance and no one can approach PKFN without their consent and approval. These apparent consultations which EP adamantly and continuously relays to MVLWB is of no merit because PKFN is not satisfied and that Enbridge has not fulfilled it duty to consult with the First Nation. EP continues to work in the PKFN's traditional territory without providing details of what they are doing, in fact PKFN has had to call their Fort Simpson office to request information. This is not how PKFN envisions consultation by EP and MVLWB should not take further steps to granting the LUP until these concerns are addressed and dealt with. PKFN's homeland is their workplace and this concept should always be paramount when granting LUP. EP is not addressing PKFN's concerns rather they are making a paper trail as long as the pipeline which has no merit according to PKFN. PKFN is blatantly dissatisfied with EP and their form of consultation practices which does not meet PKFN's consultation protocols. This ineffective means of consultation from EP has broke down communication with PKFN.

EP also refuses to acknowledge the Traditional / Cultural Land Use disruption from past crude oil spill and from the winter 2013 Integrity digs. These type of land disruptions have PKFN members questioning EP's activities and therefore creating distrust and evidently communication breakdown. EP's activities is scaring away all the big game, fur bearing animals, trap lines are disrupted etc.) - there is no compensation to either the community or the harvesters for such. PKFN has exhausted their means in communicating their displeasure with EP's activities and EP's lack of concrete responses.

PKFN does not support the issuance of this permit in any way shape or form until EP has fulfilled their duty to consult, acknowledge and or compensate for Traditional Land Use disruption, develop a consultation protocol that satisfies PKFN, and work cooperatively with PKFN on all TEK relating to Line 21. To date, EP only response to PKFN is making more unfulfilled promises and PKFN is left with no choice but to refuse to support any and all of EP's LUP applications.

If you have any questions please feel free to email me or phone me.

Sincerely,

Sharon Pellissey

Lands Officer

Pehdzeh Ki First Nation
General Delivery
Wrigley, NT X0E 1E0
Office (867) 581-3321
Fax: (867) 581-3229
pkfnlands@hotmail.com