

Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board
7th Floor - 4922 48th Street
P.O. Box 2130
YELLOWKNIFE NT XIA 2P6
Phone (867) 669-0506
FAX (867) 873-6610

Staff Report

Applicant:			
Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development – Giant Mine Remediation Project			
Location:	File Number:		
Giant Mine, NT	MV2016S0016		
Date Prepared:	Date of Board Meeting:		
February 22, 2019	March 7, 2019		
Subject:			
Land Use Permit - Amendment Application			

1. Purpose

The purpose of this Report is to present to the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board (MVLWB/the Board):

- a) An Application to amend Land Use Permit (Permit) MV2016S0016 submitted by the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (DIAND) Giant Mine Remediation Project (GIANT); and
- b) Consider the preliminary screening.

2. Background

- July 21, 2016 Issuance of Permit MV2016S0016;
- November 7, 2017 Previous Amendment Application submitted;
- December 18, 2017 Previous Amendment Approved by the Board;
- January 25, 2019 Current Amendment Application submitted;
- January 28, 2019 Amendment Application deemed complete and review commenced;
- February 14, 2019 Reviewer comments and recommendations due and received;
- February 21, 2019 Responses received;
- March 7, 2019 Amendment Application presented to the Board for decision;
- July 20, 2021 Expiration of Permit MV2016S0016, with option of two year extension remaining.

3. <u>Discussion</u>

Project History

Permit MV2016S0016 was issued in July 2016, replacing Permit MV2012S0019. The purpose of these authorizations is to allow the Giant Mine Remediation Team (GMRT) to carry out drilling activities to support and optimize the Giant Mine Remediation Project (i.e. the Freeze Optimization Study, underground stability and backfilling program, geotechnical investigations and contaminated soils testing) and to manage significant risks at the site. The scope of the Permit includes:

- a) Conduct soil investigations;
- b) Conduct drilling;

- c) Conduct test pitting;
- d) Use and storage of fuel; and
- e) Use of machinery.

No changes to the scope of activities are being proposed. However, under-ice drilling activities; winter road construction, use and maintenance; and removal of ice dams on Baker Creek were not included in preliminary screenings previously carried out for this authorization. The equipment required to complete the work has already been included.

The Giant Mine Remediation Project is jointly managed through a Cooperation Agreement with the Government of Canada and the Government of the Northwest Territories. In addition, the Main Construction Manager (Parsons Inc.), is responsible for overall site management. The proposed drilling work will be completed by a private sector contractor who will be required to abide by all existing Board-approved Management Plans.

Description of Amendment Application

On January 25, 2019 DIAND-GIANT submitted an Amendment Application (attached) for Permit MV2016S0016 to include the following activities:

- Under-ice drilling on Baker Pond
 - Under-ice drilling on Baker Pond is being requested so that the GMRT can better delineate the volumes and chemistry of contaminated sediments and tailings within Baker Pond. This will help inform final reclamation activities under Type A Water Licence MV2007L8-0031.
- Under-ice drilling on Yellowknife Bay
 - Under-ice drilling in Yellowknife Bay is being requested to determine submerged rock/sediment conditions along two proposed outfall alignments for the new Water Treatment Plant being proposed under Type A Water Licence MV2007L8-0031.
- Temporary access trail on Yellowknife Bay for equipment relocation
 - A temporary Access Trail on Yellowknife Bay is being proposed to support the transportation of equipment for groundwater testing downstream of the historic tailings spill at Dam 3.
- Removal of potential ice dams on Baker Creek
 - Removal of Potential Ice Dams on Baker Creek are being proposed to avoid the threat of Baker Creek flowing over its banks, across Jo Jo Lake tailings, and down towards Yellowknife Bay (which occurred in 2011).

Mitigation and monitoring for proposed activities include the following:

Ice thickness surveys, equipment inspections and off-ice storage, refueling a minimum of 100 m
from waterbodies, the use of a sample-catcher at the base of the lead sample rod to prevent core
from escaping upon extraction, TSS and turbidity monitoring in Yellowknife Bay with identified
action levels and responses identified including metals analysis, waste management as previously
approved, and emergency spill response measures in place in accordance with the approved Spill
Contingency Plan.

Management Plans

The existing Board-approved Waste Management Plan and Spill Contingency Plans were included with the Application. No changes have been proposed as a result of the Amendment Application. Board staff suggest that these Plans meet applicable guidelines and sufficiently reflect the scope of the proposed activities.

Engagement

The existing Site Stabilization Communications Plan and a record of engagement pertaining to the specific Amendment Application was also included with the Application. Pre-Engagement for the Amendment included the entire Giant Mine Remediation Distribution List and consisted of an e-mail on December 19, 2018 with a description of proposed drilling activities, including maps. The engagement did not mention the addition of the access trail on Yellowknife Bay or the ice dam removal on Baker Creek.

The ice dam removal on Baker Creek was added to the amendment as recommended by Board staff to provide a method of notification and/or review in the event of an emergency. There was the potential that ice build-up near culverts would have to be addressed so as to avoid another overflow before the processing of this Application. As of February 22, 2019, no emergency measures have yet had to occur.

In response to its pre-application engagement, the GMRT received responses from Health Canada, Alternatives North, and Mr. Bill Slater. Health Canada inquired about the consideration of exposure pathways that could impact human health, Alternatives North had no concerns but expressed an interest in observing the drilling activity, and Mr. Slater supported the proposed activities with the assumption that appropriate mitigation and monitoring efforts would be implemented.

Regulatory Requirements

Amendment Applications require a description of the proposed amendment, reason(s) for the proposed amendment, identification of conditions requiring amendment, updates to any plan affected by the proposed amendment, and appropriate engagement.

Eligibility

The Giant Mine site is within the boundaries of the City of Yellowknife and is situated on Commissioner's Land administered by the GNWT's Department of Municipal and Community Affairs (MACA). MACA established Reserve R662T in favor of DIAND (now Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada or CIRNAC) that covers the former Giant Mine lease area (L-3668T) to allow for the implementation of the Giant Mine Remediation Project.

DIAND-GIANT holds Reserve R662T, and is therefore eligible for a permit as per subsection 18(b) of the Mackenzie Valley Land Use Regulations:

- 18) A person is eligible for a permit who
- (b) in any other case, has a right to occupy the land and either contracts to have the land -use operation carried out or is the person who is to carry out the operation.

Type of Area

This Project is located within the boundaries of the City of Yellowknife. A Federal reserve has been established on non-federal lands for the GMRP.

Fees

No application fees are required.

Term

DIAND-GIANT has not applied for any change to the term of the permit. The permit expires on July 20, 2021 with the option for a two year extension remaining.

4. Comments

Triggers

The activities as described trigger a Type A permit as per with paragraph 4(b) of the Mackenzie Valley Land Use Regulations:

- (4) No person shall, without a Type A permit, carry on any activity that involves
 - (b) On land within or outside the boundaries of a local government,
 - (i) The use of motorized earth-drilling machinery the operating weight of which, excluding the weight of drill rods, stems, bits, pumps and other ancillary equipment, equals or exceeds 2.5 t, for a purpose other than the drilling of holes for building piles or utility poles or the setting of explosives within the boundaries of the local government,
 - (iii) The establishment of a petroleum fuel storage facility with a capacity equal to or exceeding 80 000 L, or
 - (iv)The use of a stationary motorized machine, other than a power saw, for hydraulic prospecting, moving earth or clearing land.

No Licence is required since water use remains beneath 100 m³/day and there are no direct deposits of waste into water.

5. Public Review

By February 14, 2019, comments and recommendations on the Application were received from 4 reviewers:

- Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)
- CIRNAC Inspector (Tim Morton)
- CIRNAC Environment; and
- Board Staff

DIAND-GIANT responded by February 21, 2019. The Review Summary and Attachments (attached) presents the comments identified through this review.

Main Issues Raised during the Review

ECCC and CIRNAC expressed no concerns with the Application so long as best practices for the mitigation of any potential impacts are implemented. No comments on draft permit conditions were provided. Board staff requested information regarding the impacts of drilling activities in Yellowknife Bay with regard to Measures and Commitments from the Giant Mine Remediation Project Report of Environmental Assessment (EA-0809), potential impacts on fish and fish habitat, and confirmation of total water use.

DIAND-GIANT responded appropriately to all comments. Comments and responses are provided in the Review Comment Table (attached).

Preliminary Screening

The draft Preliminary Screening (attached) contains information from the Amendment Application and public review regarding environmental and socio-economic concerns, along with mitigations, and is for the Board's consideration. Portions highlighted in yellow reflect the proposed amendment activities being screened.

Draft Permit

The draft Permit (attached) contains recommended conditions based the standard Permit conditions list and reflects concerns and recommendations raised during the public review. New conditions are highlighted in yellow and were provided to all parties for review, no comments were received. These new conditions have been included to address and mitigate potential impacts associated with the new activities proposed to take place on or near ice.

6. Security

Security is not applicable to this Project as per section 94 of the *Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act* (MVRMA).

7. Conclusion

The draft Permit conditions are based upon the standard condition list, public review, and Board staff recommendations. Board staff concludes that the conditions contained within this draft Permit should mitigate the potential environmental impacts this development may have on the land and water.

Board staff suggest that there are no outstanding issues or concerns with this Application.

8. Recommendation

Board staff recommend the Board:

- a) Make a motion to approve the preliminary screening of the Amendment Application for Land Use Permit MV2016S0016 from Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development – Giant Mine Remediation Project.
- b) Make a motion to approve the amendment of Land Use Permit MV2016S0016.

A draft decision letter is attached.

9. Attachments

- Amendment Application
 - o Waste Management Plan
 - o Emergency Spill Response Plan
 - o Site Stabilization Communications Plan
 - Pre-Application Engagement
- Review Summary and Attachments
- 2017 Preliminary Screening

- 2016 Preliminary Screening
- 2012 Preliminary Screening
- Draft Updated Preliminary Screening
- Draft Land Use Permit Cover Page
- Draft Land Use Permit Conditions
- Draft Decision Letter from the Board

Respectfully submitted,

Shannon Allerston Regulatory Specialist

Review Comment Table

Board:	MVLWB		
Review Item:	Giant Mine Remediation Project - Geotechnical Investigations - Amendment Request (MV2016S0016)		
File(s):	MV2016S0016		
Proponent:	INAC - CARD		
Document(s):	Amendment Request (3.22 MB) Waste Management Plan (804.18 KB) SSP Communications Plan (45.22 KB) Most Recent Preliminary Screening (2017) (182.21 KB) Draft Amended LUP Conditions MV2016S0016 (94.92) Emergency Spill Response Plan (2.94 MB)		
Item For Review Distributed On:	Jan 28 at 15:31 <u>Distribution List</u>		
Reviewer Comments Due By:	Feb 14, 2019		
Proponent Responses Due By:	Feb 21, 2019		
Item Description:	The Giant Mine Remediation Project (GMRP) Team (CIRNAC and GNWT) has submitted a request to amend Land Use Permit (Permit) MV2016S0016. The GMRP Team is requesting to include the following activities: • Under-ice drilling on Baker Pond • Under-ice drilling on Yellowknife Bay • Temporary access trail on Yellowknife Bay for equipment relocation • Removal of potential ice dams on Baker Creek Reviewers are invited to submit comments and recommendations using the Online Review System (ORS) by the review comment deadline specified below. If		

highlighted in yellow); and • Preliminary Screening (please see below for additional information). Under the Preliminary Screening Requirement Regulations of the Mackenzie Valley Resource Management Act (MVRMA), the Board must conduct a preliminary screening for an amendment request, unless it is exempt from Part 5 of the MVRMA. Reviewers are encouraged to provide comments and recommendations (e.g. on impacts and mitigation measures) to assist with the completion of the preliminary screening. The most recent preliminary screening that was approved by the Board is located under Document(s) below. Please be advised that comments made by reviewers regarding impacts of this proposed amendment to wildlife and wildlife habitat in this preliminary screening will inform the GNWT Minister of Environment and Natural Resources' determination regarding whether a Wildlife Management and Monitoring Plan will be required for this project as per Section 95 of the Wildlife Act. All documents that have been uploaded to this review are also available on our public Registry. If you have any questions or comments about the ORS or this review, please contact Board staff identified below. In addition to the email distribution list, the following organization received **General Reviewer** review materials by fax: Information: • NWT Metis Nation: Tim Heron, NWTMN IMA Coordinator: (867) 872-3586. Jen Potten 867-766-7468 Contact Kim Murray (867) 766-7458 Information: Shannon Allerston 867-766-7465 Tyree Mullaney 867-766-7464

Comment Summary

Environment and Climate Change Canada: Russell Wykes				
ID	Topic	Reviewer Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Board Response
1	ECCC comments	Comment ECCC has reviewed this file in accordance with our mandate, and has no comments at this time. Recommendation N/A	Feb 21: Acknowledged.	Feb 21: N/A
INA	C - NWT Inspecto	rs: Tim Morton		
ID	Topic	Reviewer Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Board Response
1	Inspectors Comments	Comment The Inspector has no comments at this time; The Permittee is required to use all best practices to mitigate any potential environmental impacts and report all spills on ice to the Inspector at (867) 669-2442. Recommendation See above comment.	Feb 21: Acknowledged. Best practices will be implemented to mitigate potential impacts on ice. Should a spill occur, it will be reported as required.	Feb 21 : N/A
INA	C - Yellowknife: D	Pinah Elliott		
ID	Topic	Reviewer Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Board Response
1	Amendment Request (MV2016S0016)	Comment CINAC Environment has reviewed the submission and does not have any comments at this time. Recommendation N/A	Feb 21: Acknowledged.	Feb 21 : N/A
MVI	WB: Shannon Al	lerston		
ID	Topic	Reviewer Comment/Recommendation	Proponent Response	Board Response
1	EA Measures and Commitments	Comment Measure 15: d) There is no increase in arsenic levels in Yellowknife Bay water or sediments beyond 200 metres of the outfall. Measure 16: Before construction, the Developer will model re-suspension of arsenic from sediments and	Feb 21: The geotechnical investigations will support the Project in meeting Measure 15 as the investigations will inform a design of the outfall that prevents re-suspension of contaminated sediment. The drilling itself is not considered	Feb 21: If TSS/Turbidity values hit thresholds identified in the application, water samples will be collected for metals analysis. If

resulting bioavailability in the construction, but rather is levels continue to vicinity of the outfall. If the rise, or hit the stop part of the investigation to modelling results indicate support the design of the work threshold, outfall. Feb 21: The risk of that the outfall may resamples will be suspend arsenic from resuspension of sediments is analyzed to sediments, the Developer will considered low due to the categorize any associated risk for modify the outfall design type of drill rig being used for until operation does not this work. The diameter of arsenic the drill is small so the cause resuspension of arsenic resuspension. The from sediment. Commitment volume of sediment that purpose of these could be resuspended would 6: An engineering study of investigations is to alternative on-land and be minimal and localized. If develop plans that offshore outfall and diffuser field turbidity values become meet the measures installation methods will be elevated, TSS and metal and commitment completed. The detailed samples will be collected; of the EA for the designs for the outfall and these samples will be upcoming Type A diffuser will be based on the submitted for analysis only if Water Licence. Any findings of this study. the stop work threshold is impacts should be **Recommendation** Can GMRT triggered. These data would minimal and address the measures and be used to verify TSS and localized, as commitments identified arsenic concentrations described. above and how the activities related to the high turbidity Monitoring and associated with investigations and can help to quantify mitigations for the diffuser address the potential risk in the area. proposed seem requirements identified? appropriate. Impacts on Fish **Comment** Is the area for Feb 21: Fish habitat in the Feb 21: Impacts proposed drilling activates in general area of the proposed and mitigation YK Bay known fish spawning drilling activities in identified added to Yellowknife Bay includes habitat? Are there any the preliminary anticipated or potential potential spawning habitat screening. DFO did impacts to fish or fish habitat for Slimy Sculpin, occurring in not identify any as a result of the proposed the shallow gravel areas at issues with regard drilling activities in YK Bay? the shoreline, as well as to impacts on fish **Recommendation** Please rearing and foraging habitat or fish habitat provide a discussion on any for a variety of other resident during the course of the review. potential impacts and species throughout the bay. These habitats are neither mitigation measures to address impacts on fish or limiting nor critical in fish habitat in YK Bay. Yellowknife Bay. Slimy Sculpin typically spawn in late April and May. Timing of the proposed drill program mitigates effects to spawning habitat: the drill program is scheduled to occur in March when the shallow gravel areas will be frozen; the

		drilling activities (and ice trail		
		confirm that water use for all	expect any water use is	
3	Water Use		Feb 21: The Project does not	Feb 21: N/A
2	Water Use	Comment Can the GMRT		Feb 21: N/A
			negative and mitigable.	
			fish habitat are considered	
			Potential effects to fish and	
			accessible and non-limiting.	
			Yellowknife Bay being	
			nature of the habitat in	
			marginal considering the	
			disturbance is considered	
			drill hole itself, the	
			immediate footprint of the	
			While the sediment will be temporarily disturbed in the	
			habitat in unfrozen areas.	
			potential effects to fish	
			small, thus mitigating	
			the drill hole diameter is	
			not required for drilling and	
			program is such that water is	
			used for the proposed drilling	
			size of the equipment to be	
			Yellowknife Bay. The type and	
			functions elsewhere in	
			the drill to carry out these	
			the temporary disturbance of	
			can easily move away from	
			areas for foraging or rearing	
			frequenting nearby deeper	
			fish use of the area. Fish	
			program mitigate effects to	
			area of the proposed drilling	
			ice and frozen conditions. The short duration and localized	
			habitat is inaccessible due to	
			and during a time when the	
			commencement of spawning,	
			prior to the typical	
			scheduled to occur in March	
			spawning: the drill program is	
			mitigates effects to sculpin	
			drilling program also	
			Timing of the proposed	
			and covered with landfast ice.	
			to disturbance when frozen	
			substrate is less susceptible	

re re (L R th w	emain below the trigger for equiring a Water Licence Less than 100 m3/day). Recommendation What is the maximum amount of water expected to be	required to support the drilling activities.	
re	equired (including source); or all activities per day?		